undefined

* Number of cases in the United Kingdom * Number of reported cases worldwide (excluding the United Kingdom) * Cases in imported animals only * Annual incidence rate

Number of cases of bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) reported in the United Kingdom (1)

	Alderney	Great Britain	Guernsey (3)	Isle of Man (2)	Jersey	Northern Ireland	Total United Kingdom
1987 and before (4)	0	442	4	0	0	0	446
1988 (4)	0	2 469	34	6	1	4	2 514
1989	0	7 137	52	6	4	29	7 228
1990	0	14 181	83	22	8	113	14 407
1991	0	25 032	75	67	15	170	25 359
1992	0	36 682	92	109	23	374	37 280
1993	0	34 370	115	111	35	459	35 090
1994	2	23 945	69	55	22	345	24 438
1995	0	14 302	44	33	10	173	14 562
1996	0	8 016	36	11	12	74	8 149
1997	0	4 312	44	9	5	23	4 393
1998	0	3 179	25	5	8	18	3 235
1999	0	2 274	11	3	6	7	2 301
2000	0	1 355	13	0	0	75	1 443
2001	0	1,113	2	0	0	87	1,202
2002	0	1,044	1	0	1	98	1,144
2003	0	549	0	0	0	62	611
2004	0	309	0	0	0	34	343
2005	0	203	0	0	0	22	225
2006	0	104	0	0	0	10	114
2007	0	53	0	0	0	14	67
2008	0	33	0	0	0	4	37

(1) Cases are shown by year of restriction.

(2) In the isle of Man BSE is confirmed on the basis of a laboratory examination of tissues for the first case on a farm and thereafter by clinical signs only. However, all cases in animals born after the introduction of the feed ban have been subjected to histopathological/scrapie-associated fibrils analysis. To date, a total of 277 animals have been confirmed on clinical grounds only.

(3) In Guernsey BSE is generally confirmed on the basis of clinical signs only. To date, a total of 600 animals have been confirmed without laboratory examination.

(4) Cases prior to BSE being made notifiable are shown by year of report, apart from cases in Great Britain which are shown by year of clinical onset of disease.

[top]

Last update :22-Jan-2009 (fr)

別紙様式第2-1

No. 20

医薬品 研究報告 調査報告書

識別番号・報告回数			報告日	第一報入手日	新医薬品等の区分	総合機構処理欄
				2009. 3. 15	該当なし	

一般的名称	解凍人赤血球濃厚液	研究報告の公表状況	Dorsey K, Zou S, Schonberger LB, Sullivan M, Kessler D, Notari E 4th, Fang CT, Dodd RY. Transfusion. Epub 2009 Jan 5.	公表国 米国
販売名(企業名)	解凍赤血球濃厚液「日赤」(日本赤十字社) 照射解凍赤血球濃厚液「日赤」(日本赤十字社) 解凍赤血球-LR「日赤」(日本赤十字社) 照射解凍赤血球-LR「日赤」(日本赤十字社)			

研究報告の概要 93

○米国の調査試験においてクロイツフェルト・ヤコブ病の輸血による伝播についてのエビデンスは得られなかった

背景:2004年以降、英国では輸血により伝播した変異型クロイツフェルト・ヤコブ病(vCJD)が複数報告され、古典的CJDの同様な伝播リスクについて懸念が再び浮上した。

調査デザインおよび方法:CJDと診断された患者および患者の供血歴がコーディネータに報告された。血液供給と病院記録の調査を通して、これら供血者に由来する血液成分の受血者を特定した。その後、各受血者の生存状況を調べ、死亡している場合には、受血者のIDとCDCのNational Death Indexデータベースとを適合させて、死因を特定した。この調査は受血者の登録後と、それ以降生存する者に対して毎年実施した。

結果:後にCJDを発症した供血者36名と受血者436名が対象となった。2006年までの期間、受血者のうち生存者91名、死亡者329名、追跡不能者16名となった。これら3群の輸血後の生存期間は合計2096.0人年であった。合計144名の受血者が5年以上生存し、そのうち68名は、供血後60ヶ月以内にCJDを発症した供血者の血液の輸血を受けた。輸血後にCJDを発症した受血者は特定されなかった。

結論:現在も実施中のこの大規模ルックバック調査の現在までの結果は、CJDの輸血伝播の証拠を示していない。これによりCJD供血者によるプリオン病の輸血による伝播リスクは、もしあったとしても、vCJD供血者による伝播リスクよりも非常に低いという結論が強まった。

報告企業の意見	今後の対応
米国の大規模ルックバック調査において、古典的CJDの輸血伝播の証拠は示されず、CJD供血者によるプリオン病の輸血による伝播リスクは、vCJD供血者による伝播リスクよりも非常に低いとの報告である。	日本赤十字社は、vCJDの血液を介する感染防止の目的から、献血時に過去の海外渡航歴(旅行及び居住)を確認し、欧州36ヶ国に一定期間滞在したドナーを無期限に献血延期としている。また、英国滞在歴を有するvCJD患者が国内で発生したことから、平成17年6月1日より1980～96年に1日以上の英国滞在歴のある人の献血を制限している。今後もCJD等プリオン病に関する新たな知見及び情報の収集に努める。

使用上の注意記載状況・その他参考事項等

解凍赤血球濃厚液「日赤」
照射解凍赤血球濃厚液「日赤」
解凍赤血球-LR「日赤」
照射解凍赤血球-LR「日赤」

血液を介するウイルス、
細菌、原虫等の感染
vCJD等の伝播のリスク

15

Lack of evidence of transfusion transmission of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in a US surveillance study

Kerri Dorsey, Shimian Zou, Lawrence B. Schonberger, Marian Sullivan, Debra Kessler, Edward Notari IV, Chyang T. Fang, and Roger Y. Dodd

BACKGROUND: Since 2004, several reported transfusion transmissions of variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (vCJD) in the United Kingdom have reawakened concerns about the possible risk of similar transmissions of nonvariant or classic forms of CJD.

STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: Patients with a CJD diagnosis and a history of donating blood were reported to the study coordinator. Through review of blood distribution and hospital records, the recipients of blood components from these donors were identified. We then determined each recipient's vital status and, if deceased, the cause(s) of death identified by matching the recipient's personal identifiers with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Death Index database. We conducted such searches after recipients were enrolled in this study and annually thereafter for those who remained alive.

RESULTS: The study included a total of 36 blood donors who subsequently developed CJD and 436 recipients. Through 2006, 91 of these recipients were still alive, 329 were deceased, and 16 were lost to follow-up. After transfusion, these three groups had survived a total of 2096.0 person-years. A total of 144 recipients survived 5 years or longer after transfusion and 68 of them had received blood donated 60 or fewer months before the onset of CJD in the donor. We identified no recipient with CJD.

CONCLUSIONS: The current results of this large, ongoing lookback study show no evidence of transfusion transmission of CJD. They reinforce the conclusion that the risk, if any, of transfusion transmission of prion disease by CJD donors is significantly lower than the comparable risk of such transmission by vCJD donors.

Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (vCJD) and the nonvariant or classic forms of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) of humans belong to a group of transmissible, fatal degenerative neurologic diseases called transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs). These diseases are also called prion diseases because of the formation and accumulation of an abnormal form of the prion protein (PrP^{sc}) that is hypothesized to play a central etiologic role in the disease process.[1] TSEs affect both humans and animals (e.g., bovine spongiform encephalopathy [commonly known as mad cow disease] in cattle; scrapie in sheep and goats; and chronic wasting disease in deer, elk, and moose).

Prion diseases in humans have been reported to occur sporadically without an apparent environmental source, through an inherited genetic mutation, or iatrogenically. Cases of familial CJD have occurred due to a mutated prion protein gene (PRNP) located on chromosome 20. More than 30 different mutations of the PRNP

ABBREVIATIONS: NDI = National Death Index; TMER = Transfusion Medicine Epidemiological Review; TSE(s) = transmissible spongiform encephalopathy(-ies); vCJD = variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease.

From the Jerome H. Holland Laboratory for the Biomedical Sciences, American Red Cross, and RTI International, Rockville, Maryland; the Division of Viral & Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne & Enteric Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; and the New York Blood Center, New York City, New York.

Address reprints requests to: Kerri Dorsey, MPH, Transmissible Diseases Department, Jerome H. Holland Laboratory for the Biomedical Sciences, American Red Cross, 15601 Crabbs Branch Way, Rockville, MD 20855; e-mail: dorseyke@usa.redcross.org.

Received for publication September 22, 2008; revision received October 28, 2008; and accepted October 29, 2008.

doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2008.02056.x

TRANSFUSION 2009;49:977-984.

have been linked to familial human prion diseases. The most common familial CJD haplotypes are E200K-129M and D178N-129V.[2] Cases of iatrogenic CJD have been associated with exposures to contaminated neurosurgical equipment, human-derived pituitary growth hormone injections, cadaver-derived dura mater grafts, and corneal grafts.[3]

Surveillance of CJD in the United States has shown approximately one case annually per million people in the general population. Over many years, these rates have remained reasonably stable and the median age at death has consistently been approximately 68 years.[4,5]

Since the late 1980s, efforts have been made to minimize the potential risk of transfusion transmission of CJD, and in the 1990s the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) convened a TSE advisory committee, consisting of public interest advocates, ethicists, caregivers, and technical experts. Further, the FDA has issued a number of guidances for industry. These guidances attempt to balance the benefits of reducing the uncertain risks of prion disease transmission by blood products and the potential adverse impact that such preventive policies might have on product availability.[6]

Since 2004, transfusion transmission of the vCJD agent has been well documented. To date, the investigators conducting the UK Transfusion Medicine Epidemiological Review (TMER) study have linked three symptomatic cases of vCJD and one asymptomatic vCJD infection to receipt of blood transfusions from donors who subsequently developed vCJD (vCJD donor).[7,8] One blood donor was linked to two of the vCJD transmissions through donations, 21 and 17 months before the donors' onset of vCJD. These data suggest that once vCJD infectivity appears in blood it probably persists there. In addition to increasing concerns about the transmissibility of vCJD, these transfusion transmissions reawakened concerns and interest in blood safety and CJD. Both vCJD and CJD are invariably fatal and are caused by similar unconventional agents that are unusually resistant to inactivation. Incubation periods for vCJD and iatrogenic CJD are measured in years; there is no practical, licensed screening test to identify those who may be incubating these diseases.[9,10] Because CJD is far more common than vCJD, CJD might potentially affect even more recipients if, in fact, CJD were transmitted by blood transfusion.[11,12]

Surveillance and epidemiologic studies have provided the most reassuring data about blood safety and CJD, although very little long-term lookback data on donations from CJD donors have been reported.[8,13,14] Surveillance of high-exposure recipients, such as persons with hemophilia, and case-control studies show no evidence for transfusion transmission of CJD in humans.[15-17] In contrast, animal models have demonstrated that prion diseases can be transmitted by blood, a finding that aggravates concern about blood safety and CJD.[18,19] For example, studies comparing the infectivity in murine models of vCJD and Gerstmann-Straussler-Scheinker disease, a genetically inherited, classic (not bovine spongiform encephalopathy related) form of prion disease, revealed similarly low levels of infectivity in blood components during both the preclinical and the clinical phases of disease.[19]

In late 1994, a report of CJD in an American Red Cross 10-gallon donor heightened public health concerns in the United States about the possible transfusion transmission risk of CJD. Because of these concerns, in 1995 the Red Cross in collaboration with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) initiated a long-term lookback investigation of blood donors who were later diagnosed with CJD (CJD donors). The purpose of this collaborative study was to provide further epidemiologic data to assess the recurring concerns about the possibility of CJD transmission by blood transfusion. This article reports on the follow-up of the recipients of blood products from reported CJD donors. This study is the largest of its kind reported to date in terms of the number of such recipients identified and the period of time that they were documented to have survived after transfusion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

CJD patients with a history of blood donation

The study coordinator identified CJD blood donors from reports provided by collaborating blood centers, family members, the CDC, and the FDA. Through searches of blood establishment records on donations made by the CJD donor and with the cooperation of hospitals, we identified recipients of the CJD donors' blood components.

Criteria for inclusion of a CJD donor in the study included a diagnosis of CJD made by a neurologist (and preferably confirmed by neuropathologic study of brain tissue at autopsy or biopsy) and a history of at least one documented allogeneic blood donation. (Autologous and therapeutic donations were not included.) We collected results of available diagnostic laboratory tests, cerebrospinal fluid studies, and electroencephalograms on the reported CJD donors. We notified the blood centers about the CJD donors and requested that each center review its records for each of the CJD donor's donations to identify the recipients of each donor's labile blood components. A CJD donor was entered in the study when at least one of these recipients was identified and could be documented to have survived for at least 1 day after receiving the blood components.

Recipients of blood products from donors who developed CJD

We requested that the transfusion service personnel send us information on each recipient of blood from a CJD

donor. This information included the recipient's name and social security number; data on the transfusion of concern, including date of transfusion and the volume and type of components transfused; and data on the last known vital status of the patient, including the date and cause of death if a recipient was deceased. The institutional review boards of the CDC and the Red Cross approved this protocol. No study-related recipient notification was required by the institutional review boards because of the absence of: 1) compelling evidence of transfusion transmission of CJD in humans, 2) any practical licensed test for preclinical CJD, and 3) any established treatment to prevent or cure CJD.

Follow-up of the recipients

For recipients for whom we had identifiers, we determined each recipient's vital status and cause(s) of death, if deceased, through searching the CDC's National Death Index (NDI) database (National Center for Health Statistics, Hyattsville, MD). We conducted such searches after a recipient was entered in this study and annually thereafter for those who remained alive. Whenever a match between the recipient's personal identifiers and the NDI database occurred, the NDI provided us with the date and codes for the cause(s) of death. The NDI database contains up to 20 codes describing the multiple causes of death. All codes describing the cause of death (underlying and additional contributing causes) were reviewed and recorded. When a code for a neurologic death was identified, the death certificate itself was obtained for review primarily to verify that CJD or some other mention of a prion disease was not listed on the certificate and possibly miscoded. In addition to enabling this verification, the death certificate may provide information on the duration of the illness and whether an autopsy was performed. Codes that triggered a request of the death certificate for a further review are listed in Table 1. The information received from NDI has an 18- to 24-month lag (e.g., the 2006 death index data first became available in 2008) because the vital statistics information is first compiled and coded by the states in which the death occurs, after which it is sent to NDI.

In addition to cross-matching recipient data with the NDI database, we annually queried AutotrackXP (Choicepoint, Inc., Boca Raton, FL) databases. AutotrackXP is a database that provides personal data sourced from multiple public and private databases. They enabled us to confirm the last known state of residence and the survival status of the recipients (e.g., a report of recent activity would indicate that the recipient was alive). For new recipients, we also used the Choicepoint databases to verify the recipients' names and social security numbers. Loss to follow-up occurred when a hospital did not provide us with identifying information for the recipient, but did provide us with the most recent health and vital status available (e.g., patient was alive and healthy at last visit, date of visit).

Statistical analysis

We analyzed the data in terms of the number of recipients of CJD donor blood components multiplied by each recipient's period in years of survival after the date of transfusion. Because the date of each donation was not collected, we used the transfusion date as a surrogate for it when determining the interval from the donation to onset of CJD in the donor. In the few situations where only the month and year were provided, the date was set as the 15th of the month and if only the year was provided the month and day was set to the middle of the year (July 1). Thus, this interval in months was calculated by determining the number of days between the date of onset of the CJD in the donor minus the date of transfusion in the recipient, dividing by 365 and multiplying by 12. This information, in turn, was categorized into seven groups: less than or equal to 12, 13 to 24, 25 to 36, 37 to 48, 49 to 60, 61 to 72, and 73 months and greater.

For recipients, their survival time was calculated by the interval between the date of transfusion and the last known date the recipient was alive or, if the recipient was known to be deceased, the interval between the date of transfusion and the date of death. Person-years were also determined for selected groups of recipients with different lengths of posttransfusion survival, such as recipients who had survived 5 or more years after transfusion ("long-term survivors").

We used Fisher's exact test to assess the difference in risk of blood transfusion transmission of CJD and vCJD among recipients who survived 5 years or longer after transfusion and received blood from a donor whose last donation occurred within 60 months of the onset of symptoms (donation-to-onset interval). The data on CJD were derived from the present study and the data on vCJD from the UK TMER study.[7] In the UK study, the three identified clinical cases of vCJD occurred among 21 recipients known to have survived 5 years or longer and whose donors had an onset-to-donation interval of 60 months or less (R.G. Will, personal communication, 2008).

RESULTS

Study donors

Forty-three blood donors who were subsequently diagnosed with CJD were reported for possible inclusion in this study. Of these 43, 7 were not included due to lack of response from the blood centers, absence of donations on file, or incomplete recipient records.

The CJD illness of all 36 identified study donors was diagnosed by a neurologist, and 58 percent (21/36) of

TABLE 1. Frequency for the top five ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes for the multiple causes of death and for codes that generated further investigation

Code	Grouping or frequency	Number
ICD-9 morbidity/mortality codes for deaths between 1978 and 1998		
ICD-9	*Five most frequent grouping of codes (total diagnosis codes 696 from 252 decedents*)*	
420.0-429.9	Other forms of heart disease	67
410.0-414.9	Ischemic heart disease	58
200.0-208.9	Malignant neoplasms of lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue	45
570.0-579.9	Other diseases of digestive system	37
280.0-289.9	Diseases of blood and blood-forming organs	34
	Frequency of codes that generated further investigation†	
046.1	CJD	0
310.9	Specific nonpsychotic mental disorders following organic brain damage, unspecified	1
331.9	Other cerebral degenerations, unspecified	0
341.9	Other demyelinating diseases of central nervous system, unspecified	0
348.8	Other conditions of brain	0
ICD-10 morbidity/mortality codes for deaths for 1999 through present		
ICD-10	*Five most frequent grouping of codes (total diagnosis codes 182 from 77 decedents*)*	
I30.0-I51.9	Other forms of heart disease (e.g., cardiac arrest, congestive heart failure, endocarditis)	21
I20.0-I25.9	Ischemic heart disease	18
N17.0-N19.9	Renal failure	15
I60.0-I69.9	Cerebrovasular disease	12
I10.0-I13.9	Hypertensive disease	8
	Frequency of codes that generated further investigation†	
A81.0	CJD	0
A81.2	Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy	0
A81.9	Atypical virus infection of central nervous system, unspecified	0
B94.8	Sequelae of other specified infectious and parasitic diseases	0
E85.2	Heredofamilial amyloidosis, unspecified	0
F03	Unspecified dementia	3
G20	Parkinson's disease	1
G30.0	Alzheimer's disease with early onset	0
G30.9	Alzheimer's disease, unspecified	1
G31.8	Other specified degenerative diseases of nervous system	0
G47.0	Disorders of initiating and maintaining sleep	0
G90	Disorders of the autonomic nervous system	0
G93.3	Postviral fatigue syndrome	0
G93.4	Encephalopathy, unspecified	0
G93.9	Disorder of brain, unspecified	0
G96.9	Disorder of central nervous system, unspecified	0
G98	Other disorders of nervous system, not elsewhere classified	0
R99	Other ill-defined and unspecified causes of mortality	0

* Mean number of multiple cause of death codes listed per decedent is 3 for both ICD-9 and ICD-10.
† Mean age at death for those decedents that triggered further investigation was 79.5 years (range, 64-101 years).

these diagnoses were autopsy and/or biopsy confirmed by examination of brain tissue. Of these 36 CJD donors, 34 (94%) were identified as sporadic CJD, 1 as familial CJD (E200K), and 1 as iatrogenic CJD.

These 36 donors donated blood in 16 states in the United States between 1970 and 2006. The mean age of these donors at onset of their CJD was 60 years (range, 39-74 years). The mean of reported donations made by the donors was 20 (range, 1-76). Not all of the donations yielded an enrolled recipient. Of the units linked to identified study recipients, red blood cells (238 units) were the most commonly received component, followed by platelets (75 units), and plasma (49 units) with the remaining units being other types of components such as whole blood, cryoprecipitate, and granulocytes (35 units). The transfusion service did not report the type of component received for 41 of the recipients.

Study recipients and the results of their follow-up

A total of 436 recipients were included in this lookback. Their median age at transfusion was 66.1 years (range, 4 days to 99 years). They received transfusions in 30 different states between 1970 and 2006.

As of the end of December 2006, 329 recipients (75.4%) were deceased, 91 (20.9%) were alive, and 16 (3.7%) were lost to follow-up. For those who died, the median age at death was 70.5 years (range, 8 months-101 years). None died with a diagnosis of CJD. The top five causes of death for the reported combined underlying cause and multiple causes of death groupings are listed in Table 1; ICD-9 codes were used for deaths occurring before 1999 and ICD-10 codes were used for deaths occurring for 1999 through present and the complete list can be found in Table 1. On average, the decedents had three multiple causes of death

TABLE 2. Distribution of recipients by vital status and the interval between their transfusion and their donor's onset of CJD

Interval between recipient's transfusion and donor's onset of CJD symptoms (months)	Alive	Deceased	Lost to follow-up	Total
≤12	17	44	5	66 (15.1%)
13-24	5	32	3	40 (9.2%)
25-36	12	50	1	63 (14.5%)
37-48	5	35	0	40 (9.2%)
49-60	8	43	0	51 (11.7%)
61-72	15	26	0	41 (9.4%)
≥73	29	99	7	135 (30.9%)
Total	91 (21%)	329 (75%)	16 (4%)	436 (100%)
Person-years followed	1199.25	832.25	64.5	2096.00

TABLE 3. Distribution of recipients by years of posttransfusion survival and the interval between transfusion and onset of CJD in donor

Interval between recipient's transfusion and donor's onset of CJD symptoms (months)	Posttransfusion survival (years)									
	≤4	5	6	7	8	9	10	≥11	≥5, subtotal	Total
≤12	47	2	0	0	7	1	3	6	19	66
13 to 24	31	0	0	1	1	1	2	4	9	40
25 to 36	51	0	2	1	0	0	1	8	12	63
37 to 48	27	0	2	2	0	1	2	6	13	40
49 to 60	36	1	3	2	0	1	0	8	15	51
61 to 72	19	1	3	0	2	2	2	12	22	41
≥73	81	3	1	5	4	4	1	36	54	135
Total	292	7	11	11	14	10	11	80	144	436

listed. Codes that triggered further investigation were 310.9, F03, G20, and G30.9 and occurred six times. Review of each of the six death certificates verified that none included any mention of prion diseases. The mean age of the six decedents was 79.5 years (range, 64-101 years; Table 1). Almost half (49%) of the recipients died within the first year after transfusion. The 2006 NDI results indicated that 91 recipients (all but 2 were adults) were still alive at the end December 31, 2006. Of these 89 adults, AutotrackXP subsequently provided further evidence that at least 85 percent of them were alive.

Recipients in the study were documented to have survived for a total of 2096.0 person-years after receipt of a blood component from a CJD donor (Table 2). The 329 deceased recipients contributed 832.25 of these person-years and the 91 recipients who were alive as of December 2006 contributed 1199.25 person-years. The remaining 16 recipients who were lost to follow-up had contributed 64.5 person-years.

A majority (60%) of the 436 recipients in this study received blood and components from CJD donors that were donated 60 months or less before their onset of CJD (Table 2). A total of 66 recipients received their units within 12 months or less of the donor's onset of CJD. Of the 260 recipients who received blood from donors 60 months or less before their donor's onset of CJD, 47 (18%) were still alive as of 2006.

Approximately one-third of the recipients survived 5 or more years after transfusion (Table 3). Within this group of long-term survivors, 68 recipients (46.8%) received blood that had been donated 60 months or less before onset of CJD in the donor.

We compared the risk associated with receipt of blood components donated 60 months or less before the onset of the prion disease in the CJD donors in the United States and the vCJD donors in the United Kingdom. Whereas in the United States, no case of CJD was identified among the 68 long-term surviving recipients of the blood components donated by the CJD donors within the 60-month period before their onset, in the United Kingdom 3 cases of vCJD (14%) were identified among 21 long-term surviving recipients of the blood components donated by the vCJD donors ($p = 0.012$, Fisher's exact test).

DISCUSSION

This study evaluates the risk of transfusion transmission of CJD in US blood recipients and compares the risk to that reported for vCJD in the United Kingdom. Overall, the US recipients survived for a total of 2096.0 person-years after receipt of a blood component from a CJD donor. No recipient was found to have been diagnosed with CJD. These results indicate that for the period studied, the risk, if any of transfusion transmission of CJD by CJD donors is significantly lower than the risk of transfusion transmission of vCJD by vCJD donors.

Although the incubation period for prion diseases can be very long, about 30 years or longer as observed