文献

1) 眞鍋庸三, 布巻昌仁, 平岡貴紀, 他：上下顎同時移動術に対する貯血式・希釈式自己血輸血併用時の自己血採血時期の検討. 日歯麻歯　27：31-38, 1999.

2) 熊木昇二：自己血採血時の鉄剤投与に関する安全性の検討. 自己血輸血　14：199-205, 2001.

3) Gregersen, M. I., Rawson, R. A. : Blood volume. Physiol Rev　39：307-342, 1959.

4) 瀬戸美夏, 眞鍋庸三, 冨永晋二, 他：自己血採血後輸液の循環血液量補填効果について―晶質液と膠質液の比較―. 自己血輸血　14：106-110, 2001.

5) 小川　龍, 藤田達士, 福田義一：日本人の循環血液量正常値の研究. 呼吸と循環　18：833-838, 1970.

6) 瀬戸美夏, 眞鍋庸三, 冨永晋二, 他：歯科大学および大学歯学部付属病院の口腔外科領域における自己血輸血施行状況（第2報）. 自己血輸血　学術総会号 S32, 2004.

7) 鬼塚史朗, 合谷信行, 中澤速和, 他：根治的前立腺摘出術における至適自己血貯血量― 800 ml, 1200 ml 自己血貯血の比較検討―. 自己血輸血　15：148-156, 2002.

8) 篠塚　望, 岡田克也, 鳥井孝弘, 他：胃全摘出術における術中同種血輸血と術前自己血貯血の現況. 自己血輸血　16：131-135, 2003.

9) 古河太郎, 本田良行：現代の生理学, 金原出版, 東京, 1994, pp493-496.

10) 鹿児島県自己血輸血療法研究会：貯血式自己血輸血マニュアル, 医歯薬出版, 東京, 1996, pp17-18.

11) Wadworth, G. R. : Recovery from acute hemorrhage in normal men and women. J Physiol（Lond）129：583, 1955.

12) 新名主宏一, 肥後恵子, 舞木弘幸, 他：貧血患者における術前自己血貯血の検討. 第8回自己血輸血研究会抄録集 80, 1995.

Daily doses of 20 mg of elemental iron compensate for iron loss in regular blood donors: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study

Hartmut Radtke, Joanna Tegtmeier, Lothar Röcker, Abdulgabar Salama, and Holger Kiesewetter

BACKGROUND: A considerable number of regular blood donors develops an iron deficiency, and the exact amount of iron required to compensate for the iron loss from whole-blood donation in males and females is still unknown.

STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: A total of 526 regular blood donors (289 male and 237 female) were randomly assigned to treatment with either 40 mg, 20 mg, or 0 mg per day of elemental iron as ferrous gluconate for a period of 6 months, during which one unit of whole blood was collected on four occasions (males) or three occasions (females). Hemoglobin level, serum ferritin, and soluble transferrin receptor levels were measured before each donation.

RESULTS: Daily doses of either 40 mg or 20 mg of elemental iron adequately compensated for iron loss in males, who gave blood at 2-month intervals, but did not result in a positive iron balance or an increase in storage iron as reflected by the logarithm of the ratio of transferrin receptor to ferritin concentration. In females, who donated at 3-month intervals, the same daily doses not only restored the iron balance but also led to an increase in storage iron. The number of gastrointestinal side effects due to iron supplementation (12%) was only slightly higher in both iron groups than in the placebo group.

CONCLUSION: The results of this study indicate that 20 mg of elemental iron per day can adequately compensate for iron loss in males and females who donate whole blood up to four (females) or six times per year (males).

The major side effect of whole-blood donation is iron depletion. In Germany, men are generally allowed to donate whole blood every 8 weeks and women every 12 weeks. However, the normal diet is usually unable to compensate for the resulting iron loss.[1,2] Consequently, a considerable number of regular blood donors develops a negative iron balance that may eventually progress to iron deficiency anemia.[3-7] Menstruating female donors are at a particularly high risk for chronic iron deficiency. Although this is well-known, only a few controlled, double-blind studies have dealt with the question of whether iron supplementation can prevent iron depletion in menstruating female blood donors.[8-11] There is evidence suggesting that daily doses of 40 mg of elemental iron as ferrous sulfate can sufficiently compensate for iron loss resulting from whole-blood donation and can improve iron status.[10,11] However, the question of whether a lower dose of iron is sufficient to compensate for iron loss in female donors is still open. In addition, controlled studies on iron supplementation in male donors are lacking. Most importantly, no valid measure of iron storage was used in early studies.[12,13] Today, serum ferritin and soluble transferrin receptor levels can be routinely measured and iron status can be much better assessed than previously.[14-17] The logarithm of the ratio of

the soluble transferrin receptor to ferritin concentration (log[TfR/F]), which was shown to have a highly linear correlation to body storage iron, is currently the most precise measure of body storage iron available.[14,15] Here, we present the results of a double-blind study in which we randomly assigned regular male and female blood donors to treatment with 40 mg, 20 mg, or 0 mg (placebo) per day of elemental iron for 6 months.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Selection of donors and study design

A total of 526 regular blood donors (289 male and 237 female) were enrolled in this study, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of Charité University Medical Center. Written informed consent was obtained from all volunteers. In accordance with the German guidelines for blood donor selection, all donors were determined to be healthy based on their history and had hemoglobin (Hb) concentrations of no less than 13.5 g per dL (males) or 12.5 g per dL (females). The investigational products consisted of identical capsules in blister packs containing 1.5 mg pyridoxal-phosphate, 2.25 μg cyanocobalamine, 400 mg ascorbic acid, 200 μg folic acid, and 75 μg biotin without (placebo) or with 20 mg of elemental iron as ferrous gluconate (Fe^{2+}) (Phyt-Immun GmbH, Homburg, Germany). Ascorbic acid was added to enhance iron absorption. Because most people believe in beneficial effects of vitamin supplements, the other selected vitamins were added for improved compliance. The form of iron used

meets the European Community criteria for dietary foods for special medical purposes. The participants were randomized to one of three groups receiving either 40 mg Fe^{2+}, 20 mg Fe^{2+}, or 0 mg Fe^{2+} in two capsules once daily for 6 months. Hb, serum ferritin, and soluble transferrin receptor levels were determined before blood collection at each initial and follow-up visit. Each male volunteer was scheduled for a total of four visits, including a randomization visit before the first donation at Week 0 and three subsequent predonation visits at 2-month intervals. The females were scheduled for a total of three visits: a randomization visit at Week 0 and two predonation visits at 3-month intervals (Fig. 1). The intervals were chosen in accordance to the German guidelines, which allow six donations per year for male and four donation per year for female volunteers. Volunteers with hemoglobin concentration less than 13.5 g per dL (males) or 12.5 g per dL (females) were deferred, but not excluded from study. Compliance, which was defined as the ingestion of at least 90 percent of the capsules as prescribed, was checked by counting the returned capsules between blood donations.

Laboratory methods

Hemoglobin concentrations in fingerstick blood samples were determined by the acid methemoglobin method using a photometer (HemoCue B-Hemoglobin photometer, HemoCue, Großostheim, Germany). Ferritin and soluble transferrin receptor concentrations in serum were


Fig. 1. Flow of participants during study.

determined by nephelometry using an automatic analyzer (BN Prospec, Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany).

Statistics

Sample-size calculation, randomization, and statistical analyses were performed using software (Stata for Windows, Stata Corp., College Station, TX). Based on the serum ferritin concentration, the required sample size was determined to be 49 males and 40 females per group, assuming a power of 0.9, a significance level of 0.0167 (Bonferroni adjustment for three groups), a smallest meaningful ferritin difference of 10 μg per L between groups, three (males) or two (females) follow-up measurements, a within-subject correlation coefficient of 0.8, and a standard deviation (SD) of 26 μg per L (males) or 22 μg per L (female) for serum ferritin. Assuming a dropout rate of 50 percent, we arrived at a final sample size of 98 males and 80 females per group.

The randomization plan was generated using block randomization with variable block length. Statistical analyses were performed as an intent-to-treat analysis for all participants coming for more than one visit using a linear regression model for longitudinal data (cross-sectional time-series regression model with generalized estimating equation analysis).[18] The logarithm of the ratio of transferrin receptor to ferritin concentration, an accepted measure of storage iron, was used as the outcome variable. To model the change in storage iron over time, we applied the difference values for log(TfR/F) and included the iron supplement as the predictor variable.

RESULTS

Males

Of the 289 male volunteers (age range, 19-67 years) enrolled in the study, 141 (49%) dropped out, yielding a dropout rate of 44 percent in the 40 mg of Fe^{2+} group, 44 percent in the 20 mg of Fe^{2+} group, and 58 percent in the placebo group (p = 0.075; Fisher's exact test). A total of 63 (45%) of the male dropouts withdrew before their second visit (Table 1). The mean interval between visits was 60

days. Deferral from donation because of unacceptable hemoglobin concentration values (<13.5 mg/dL) occurred in 14 of 825 visits (1.7%). This was more frequently the case in the placebo group than in the 20 mg and 40 mg iron groups (n = 9 vs. 2 vs. 3, p = 0.022; Fisher's exact test). Compliance was poor in roughly one-third of the male participants.

In the male placebo group, the mean serum ferritin concentration decreased from 35 μg per L at baseline to 21 μg per L at the final visit, the number of males with depleted iron stores (ferritin <12 μg/L) increased from 20 percent to 54 percent, and the mean concentration of soluble transferrin receptors rose slightly from 1.6 mg per L to 1.7 mg per L (Table 2, Fig. 2). In the male 20 mg iron group, serum ferritin decreased from 35 μg per L to 25 μg per L, whereas the median ferritin value changed only slightly (Table 2, Fig. 2); both the number of males with depleted iron stores (25%) and the transferrin receptor concentration (1.5 mg/L) remained nearly constant. In the male 40 mg iron group, the ferritin (33 μg/L) and transferrin receptor levels (1.5 mg/L) remained constant, whereas the number of individuals with iron depletion dropped from 26 percent to 13 percent.

The log(TfR/F) remained nearly constant in both iron groups, but rose continuously in the placebo group

Fig. 2. Box-plot for the concentration of serum ferritin and soluble transferrin receptor in male donors.

TABLE 1. Reasons and numbers of dropouts during study

Reason	Unknown		Gastrointestinal complaints		Poor compliance		Other	
	(%)	(n/total)	(%)	(n/total)	(%)	(n/total)	(%)	(n/total)
Male donors								
40 mg iron	15.5	15/97	5.2	5/97	12.4	12/97	13.4	13/97
20 mg iron	18.8	18/96	6.3	6/96	16.7	16/96	3.1	3/96
0 mg iron (placebo)	20.8	20/96	6.3	6/96	21.9	21/96	11.5	11/96
Female donors								
40 mg iron	8.9	7/79	2.5	2/79	10.1	8/79	6.3	5/79
20 mg iron	20.3	16/79	6.3	5/79	11.4	9/79	6.3	5/79
0 mg iron (placebo)	24.1	19/79	3.8	3/79	10.1	8/79	11.4	9/79

TABLE 2. Serum ferritin concentration, number of donors with depleted iron stores (ferritin concentration <12 µg/L), and logarithm of the ratio of transferrin receptor to ferritin concentration (log[TfR/F]) for all donors with at least one follow-up visit

| Visit number	Ferritin (µg/L) (mean ± SD)	Depleted iron stores (%)	(n/total)	log(TfR/F) (mean ± SD)
Male donors				
40 mg iron				
0	32.7 ± 27.5	26.3	20/76	1.54 ± 0.51
1	31.4 ± 18.8	16.2	12/74	1.47 ± 0.49
2	30.2 ± 20.8	17.9	10/56	1.50 ± 0.51
3	33.2 ± 26.7	13.0	7/54	1.52 ± 0.55
20 mg iron				
0	34.7 ± 36.3	25.3	20/79	1.48 ± 0.48
1	33.1 ± 33.3	21.8	17/78	1.46 ± 0.44
2	30.2 ± 32.7	25.4	15/59	1.47 ± 0.45
3	25.0 ± 19.8	24.5	13/53	1.52 ± 0.47
0 mg iron (placebo)				
0	35.1 ± 32.4	19.7	14/71	1.55 ± 0.50
1	27.5 ± 27.9	30.9	21/68	1.61 ± 0.45
2	24.9 ± 24.7	29.8	14/47	1.60 ± 0.52
3	21.4 ± 27.5	53.9	21/39	1.67 ± 0.53
Female donors				
40 mg iron				
0	19.3 ± 15.0	39.4	26/66	1.43 ± 0.65
1	28.5 ± 19.8	15.2	10/66	1.26 ± 0.49
2	31.4 ± 19.4	14.0	8/57	1.29 ± 0.54
20 mg iron				
0	20.0 ± 32.3	54.6	30/55	1.38 ± 0.46
1	23.3 ± 27.9	45.1	23/51	1.36 ± 0.42
2	23.5 ± 26.1	34.1	15/44	1.35 ± 0.49
0 mg iron (placebo)				
0	17.7 ± 15.0	48.9	23/47	1.39 ± 0.65
1	17.6 ± 14.5	44.2	19/43	1.40 ± 0.42
2	15.1 ± 12.3	48.7	19/39	1.55 ± 0.66

Fig. 3. Box-plots for the logarithm of the ratio of soluble transferrin receptor to ferritin concentration in male and female donors.

(Fig. 3), as was clearly demonstrated in the regression analysis (Table 3). The log(TfR/F) value increased by nearly 0.09 per donation in the placebo group, but changed only marginally in the two iron groups. Both iron groups differed significantly from the placebo group with respect to log(TfR/F).

Females

Of the 237 female volunteers (age range, 19-65 years) enrolled in the study, 96 (41%) dropped out, yielding a dropout rate of 28 percent in the 40 mg iron group, 44 percent in the 20 mg iron group, and 49 percent in the placebo group (p = 0.015; Fisher's exact test). A total of 69 (72%) of the female dropouts withdrew before their second visit (Table 1). The mean interval between visits was 88 days. Deferral from donation because of unacceptable dropout concentration values (<12.5 mg/dL) occurred in 13 of 546 visits (2.4%). This was the case more frequently in the placebo group than in the 20 mg and 40 mg iron groups (n = 10 vs. 2 vs. 1, p = 0.001; Fisher's exact test). Compliance was poor in roughly one-quarter of the female participants.

In the female placebo group, the mean concentration of serum ferritin decreased from 18 µg per L at baseline to 15 µg per L at the final visit, the number of females with depleted iron stores (ferritin <12 µg/L) remained constant (49%), and the mean soluble transferrin receptor concentration rose from 1.4 mg per L to 1.6 mg per L (Table 2, Fig. 4). In the female 20 mg iron group, serum ferritin increased from 20 µg per L to 24 µg per L, the number of individuals with depleted iron stores decreased from 55 percent to 34 percent, and the transferrin receptor concentration remained nearly constant (1.4 mg/L). In the female 40 mg iron group, ferritin concentration rose from 19 µg per L to 31 µg per L, transferrin receptor level fell slightly from 1.4 mg per L to 1.3 mg per L, and the number of individuals with iron depletion decreased from 39 percent to 14 percent.

The log(TfR/F) dropped in both iron groups, but rose continuously in the placebo group (Table 2, Fig. 3), as demonstrated by the regression analysis. The log(TfR/F) value increased by nearly 0.09 per donation in the placebo group (Table 3), but decreased by roughly 0.06 and 0.12, respectively, in the 20 mg and the 40 mg iron groups.

Side effects

Most donors (approx. 60%) did not report any side effects. There was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse effects between the three groups. In particular, the frequency of gastrointestinal complaints was low (11% in the 40 mg iron group, 13% in the 20 mg iron group, and 11% in the placebo group).

TABLE 3. Regression models for the change in log(TfR/F)

| Predictor	Coefficient	95-percent confidence interval	p value
Male donors			
20 mg Fe^{2+}	−0.074	−0.121 to −0.028	0.002
40 mg Fe^{2+}	−0.118	−0.168 to −0.068	<0.001
Constant	0.091	0.058 to 0.123	<0.001
Female donors			
20 mg Fe^{2+}	−0.150	−0.238 to −0.061	0.001
40 mg Fe^{2+}	−0.209	−0.292 to −0.127	<0.001
Constant	0.086	0.018 to 0.153	0.012

Fig. 4. Box-plot for the concentration of serum ferritin and soluble transferrin receptor in female donors.

DISCUSSION

Regular blood donation frequently leads to iron depletion, and it has been shown that iron supplementation can prevent this complication.[8,10,11] However, the exact dose needed to compensate for this type of iron loss remains unclear, and there is uncertainty as to whether iron supplementation is required in both male and female donors. Attempting to elucidate this complex issue more precisely, we monitored the logarithm of the TfR/F ratio as a measure of body storage iron in regular male and female whole-blood donors. The donors were randomly assigned to receive daily supplements containing selected vitamins plus 40 mg, 20 mg, or 0 mg of elemental iron. Dropout rates were marginally (male) or significantly (female) higher in the placebo group than in both iron groups. The reason for this finding is obscure.

Daily doses of 40 mg and 20 mg of elemental iron resulted in both a positive iron balance and an increase in storage iron in female donors and compensated for iron loss in males. This indicates that 20 mg of elemental iron per day is indeed sufficient to compensate for iron loss in both males and females. The differences in storage iron responses may be due to the shorter donation intervals in males (every 2 months) compared to females (every 3 months). It is likely that the ascorbic acid in the capsules may have increased the iron absorption by roughly 50 per-

cent.[19] The question of whether the other vitamins may play any role in this context is speculative. The only reason for including these vitamins in the investigational products was our desire to improve the compliance rate.

In the present study, we monitored ferritin and soluble transferrin receptor levels as well as the logarithm of the TfR/F ratio. The latter variable, which was shown to have a highly linear correlation with body storage iron, is the most precise measure of body storage iron available.[14,15] Until now, body iron of blood donors was assessed mainly by measuring serum ferritin.[1,3,5-7] However, this variable is somewhat unspecific and may give false-high results in the presence of various underlying diseases.[2] In fact, if ferritin had been the only variable used for assessment of body storage iron, the effects of 20 mg elemental iron in males would have been underestimated in our study.

Interestingly, the number of side effects in the two groups treated with iron(II)-gluconate was only slightly higher than the number observed in the placebo group. In particular, the incidence of gastrointestinal side effects in the iron groups was very low (12%). Due to the slight risk of poisoning in children, iron capsules should be delivered in individual packages. Elemental iron preparations like carbonyl iron are preferred as an alternative by many experts due to the much higher lethal doses.[9,10,20,21] However, carbonyl iron is not available in the European countries. In comparison, bioavailabity of carbonyl iron is slightly lower than that of ferrous salts,[21] but side effects seem to be comparable: The incidence of gastrointestinal complaints for both preparations was reported much higher in two previous studies, probably due to the supplementation with higher doses of iron.[9,21] The utility of iron supplements for prevention of iron deficiency in menstruating female blood donors is currently being discussed.[20,22] However, others and we prefer a supplementation of iron for a short-term period after blood donation but not in general.

In conclusion, our results indicate that daily doses of 20 mg Fe^{2+} can adequately compensate for iron loss resulting from whole-blood donation in males who donate up to six times a year and in females who donate up to four times a year.

REFERENCES

1. Finch CA, Cook JD, Labbe RF, Culala M. Effect of blood donation on iron stores as evaluated by serum ferritin. Blood 1977;50:441-7.
2. Skikne B, Lynch S, Borek D, Cook J. Iron and blood donation. Clin Haematol 1984;13:271-87.
3. Alvarez-Ossorio L, Kirchner H, Klüter H, Schlenke P. Low

ferritin levels indicate the need for iron supplementation: strategy to minimize iron-depletion in regular blood donors. Transfusion Med 2000;10:107-12.

4. Punnonen K, Rajamäki A. Evaluation of iron status of Finnish blood donors using serum transferrin receptor. Transfus Med 1999;9:131-4.

5. Sayers MH. Predictions for the effect of serum ferritin screening on the deferral rate of regular blood donors. Transfusion 1982;22:433.

6. Simon TL, Garry PJ, Hooper EM. Iron stores in blood donors. JAMA 1981;245:2038-43.

7. Worwood M, Darke C. Serum ferritin, blood donation, iron stores and haemochromatosis. Transfus Med 1993;3:21-8.

8. Cable RG, Morse EE, Keltonic J, et al. Iron supplementation in female blood donors deferred by copper sulfate screening. Transfusion 1988;28:422-6.

9. Gordeuk VR, Brittenham GM, Hughes MA, Keating LJ. Carbonyl iron for short-term supplementation in female blood donors. Transfusion 1987;27:80-5.

10. Gordeuk VR, Brittenham GM, Bravo J, et al. Prevention of iron deficiency with carbonyl iron in female blood donors. Transfusion 1990;30:239-45.

11. Simon TL, Hunt WC, Garry PJ. Iron supplementation for menstruating female blood donors. Transfusion 1984;24: 469-72.

12. Lieden G. Iron supplement to blood donors. I. Trials with intermittent iron supply. Acta Med Scand 1975;197:31-6.

13. Lieden G. Iron supplement to blood donors. II. Effect of continuous iron supply. Acta Med Scand 1975;197:37-41.

14. Skikne BS, Flowers CH, Cook JD. Serum transferrin receptor: a quantitative measure of tissue iron deficiency. Blood 1990;75:1870-6.

15. Cook JD, Flowers CH, Skikne BS. The quantitative assessment of body iron. Blood 2003;101:3359-64.

16. Punnonen K, Irjala K, Rajamäki A. Serum transferrin receptor and its ratio to serum ferritin in the diagnosis of iron deficiency. Blood 1997;89:1052-7.

17. Boulton F, Collis D, Inskip H, et al. A study of the iron and HFE status of blood donors, including a group who failed the initial screen for anaemia. Br J Haematol 2000;108: 434-9.

18. Twisk JWR. Applied longitudinal data analysis for epidemiology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

19. Brise H, Hallberg L. Iron absorption studies II. Effect of ascorbic acid on iron absorption. Acta Med Scand 1962; 171(Suppl 376):51-8.

20. Bianco C, Brittenham G, Gilcher RO, et al. Maintaining iron balance in women blood donors of childbearing age: summary of a workshop. Transfusion 2002;42:798-805.

21. Devasthali SD, Gordeuk VR, Brittenham GM, et al. Bioavailability of carbonyl iron: a randomized, double-blind study. Eur J Haematol 1991;46:272-8.

22. Simon TL. Iron, iron everywhere but not enough to donate. Transfusion 2002;42:664-5. ☐

2. 貧血と採血基準を考える
～血液学的立場から～

香川県赤十字血液センター
内 田 立 身

1. 貧血の定義

貧血の定義について血液学の代表的な教科書をみると、①a reduction below normal in the concentration of hemoglobin or red blood cells in the blood[1] ②anemia is functionally best characterized by a hemoglobin concentration below normal[2] などの記載があり、健常人のヘモグロビンの下限値から判断するのが一般的である。米国人においては表1のような数字が用いられている[1][2][3][4]。この際、健常人として選ばれる対象のうち特に鉄欠乏状態の多い女性では血液学的に正常でない人が含まれ、下限域が低く算定される可能性があった。

表1 米国健常人のヘモグロビン(g/dL)下限値

	男性	女性	文献番号
WHO	13.0	12.0	3
Beutler E	14.0	12.3	1
Lee GR	13.2	11.6	2
NHANESⅢ	13.5	12.0	4

最近、Beutlerら[5] は米国人の貧血の定義としてNHANES-Ⅲ(The Third US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey)[4] が行なったように、トランスフェリン飽和率16%以上、血清フェリチン10ng/mL以上の人を健常人として正常域の5%値未満を貧血としている(表2)。血液学的な貧血の定義として妥当な決め方である。

日本人の貧血の頻度について、私たちは「1981年～1991年」までの鉄欠乏の頻度を検索したことがあるが[6]、このデータをもとに鉄

表2 健常米国人のヘモグロビン(g/dL)下限値
(Beutler, 2006)

	男性(20～59歳)	女性(20～49歳)
白 人	13.7 (6,907人)	12.1 (2,966人)
アフリカ系	12.8 (434人)	11.1 (205人)

欠乏のない健常人を対象としてヘモグロビン値を求めたところ表3のとおりとなった。同じ方法で求められた斎藤ら[7] の成績とあわせると、鉄欠乏のない日本人のヘモグロビン下限値は男性12.8～13.2g/dL、女性11.8～12.1g/dLとなり、日本人成人の貧血の定義は男性13.0g/dL未満、女性12.0g/dL未満が妥当と考えられた。最近の日本人については鉄欠乏に関する正確なデータがなく、厚生労働省が行なっている「国民健康・栄養調査報告」などから鉄欠乏のない健常人のヘモグロビン値を求め、日本人の貧血の定義を定める必要がある。

表3 鉄欠乏のない健常日本人のヘモグロビン値

	平均ヘモグロビン値	1標準偏差	5%正常分布値	文献
男性(284例)	14.8	1.0	12.8	6
女性(390例)	13.9	0.9	12.1	6
男性 (26例)	15.0	0.9	13.2	7
女性(134例)	13.4	0.8	11.8	7

2. 日本人の貧血の頻度

私たちは、1981～1991年にかけて3,015名の女性で貧血の調査を行なった。その成績は、健常者43.6%、貯蔵鉄欠乏33.4%、潜在性鉄欠乏8.4%、鉄欠乏性貧血8.5%、その他6.5%

表4　日本人の貧血の頻度(%)（平成16年度国民健康・栄養調査報告から）

年齢	男性			女性		
	平均Hb±SD	Fr<10(%)	Hb下限値	平均Hb±SD	Fr<10(%)	Hb下限値
20〜29	15.1±1.0	1.6	13.1	12.9±1.0	30.5	10.9
30〜39	15.1±0.8	1.2	13.5	12.7±1.2	36.5	10.3
40〜49	15.2±1.0	1.2	13.2	12.5±1.6	37.5	9.3
50〜59	14.9±1.2	1.8	12.5	13.2±1.1	10.0	11.0
60〜69	14.5±1.4	2.5	11.7	13.1±1.0	3.9	11.1
70≦	14.0±1.5	2.8	11.0	12.6±1.2	5.6	10.2
計	14.6±1.4	2.1	11.8	12.9±1.2	17.3	10.5

男性1,537名、女性2,634名の調査。

で40歳台前半では17.2％の鉄欠乏性貧血がみられた[6]。

その後、日本人についての詳細なデータがなく、特に女性の鉄欠乏性貧血の頻度をみるには毎年厚生労働省が行なっている国民健康・栄養調査から類推するのがよいと思われる[8]。表4はその成績である。高齢者を除くと男性の貧血は5.8％以下、鉄欠乏の頻度も2.5％以下であるが、女性は16.8％が貧血であり血清フェリチン低値（鉄欠乏）の頻度も高率であることから、ほとんどが鉄欠乏性貧血である。40歳台では25.0％に貧血があり同年代の半数（47.5％）が鉄欠乏状態にある。

また、香川県赤十字血液センターにおいて平成17年度に400mL献血を申し込んだ女性のうちヘモグロビン不足(Hb12.5g/dL未満)で献血ができなかった女性の比率[9]を表5に示すが、30〜40歳台女性の約35％が献血できていない。また、日本赤十字社による全国的な調査によると[10]、平成17年に比重不足で献血できなかった人は485,746人で、これは東京都で1年間に献血できた人の数407,235人をはるかに凌駕するほどである。

表5　ヘモグロビン不足で献血できない女性の割合
　（平成17年：香川県赤十字血液センター）

年齢	Hb<12.5g/dL
16〜19	28.6%
20〜29	32.6%
30〜39	35.6%
40〜49	35.3%
50〜59	18.9%
60〜69	17.5%
全体平均	19.4%（申込者数　9,963人）

わが国の女性の貧血の頻度は欧米に比して高い。米国の国民健康・栄養調査報告によると、20〜40歳台の女性の鉄欠乏性貧血の頻度は5％、鉄欠乏状態は11％[11]、米国24血液銀行における2003年度の女性ヘモグロビン不足(12.5g/dL未満)の割合は平均で6.6％(1.3〜13％)、Wisconsin州において17〜49歳では21〜23％である[12]。わが国のこれに対応する成績は400ml献血ができなかった女性が該当し、16〜19歳で28.6％、20〜29歳で32.6％、30〜39歳で35.6％、40〜49歳で35.3％であり[13]、どの調査をみても頻度は高いといわざるを得ない。

わが国で鉄欠乏の多い原因は鉄摂取量の不足にある。平成16年国民健康・栄養調査によると、男性の1日平均鉄摂取量は8.1mg、女性の1日平均は7.7mg（20〜39歳で6.9〜7.0mg)で必要量に比して少ない[8]。日本人の必要鉄摂取量は男性10mg、月経のある女性12mgであるが、その差2mgは全血にして10〜12mLにしか相当せず、平均的月経量を30〜40mLとして外国並に15〜18mgは必要であろう。となるとわが国の月経のある女性は必要量の半分の鉄しか摂取していない。しかも鉄摂取量は過去の上記の調査によると年々減少してきている。

他方、米国における調査によると、白人男性で1日あたり17.2±0.3mg、女性で13.4±0.4mgで相当の開きがある[8]。採血基準を考える際には、以上のようなわが国の事情を勘案して決める必要がある。

3．採血基準をどう決めるか

　日本の現状を踏まえて、わが国の採血基準をどう決めたらよいかについて以下に私見をまじえて述べたい。

　代表的な国の採血基準を表6に示す。このうちEU諸国とオーストラリアは男女差があるが、米国とわが国は男女差がない。わが国の採血基準は1986年に改定され、200mL献血と400mL献血に分け、比重法かヘモグロビン法で判定するようになっている。現在、貧血の定

表6　各国の採血基準（400mL相当）

	男性	女性
Council of EU	13.5	12.5
Australia	13.0	12.0
U.S.A	12.5	12.5
日本	12.5	12.5

義はヘモグロビンで記載されており、わが国の医療機関のすべてがヘモグロビン法で貧血を診断しているので、ヘモグロビン法に統一することが望ましい。また献血も400mL献血が主流になりつつあるので諸外国に倣い200mL、400mLを一本化して表記するのがよいと考えられる。

1）ヘモグロビンの正常範囲から決める

　鉄欠乏のない健常者から正常分布域を定め、5％正常値を求めると男性13.0g/dL、女性12.0g/dLとなり、これ以上を採血基準とする方法はわかりやすく貧血の定義とも一致する。

2）貧血状態にない人から採血する

　赤血球は鉄欠乏の進展に伴い、小赤血球化、低色素性化する。図1、図2は男性および女性におけるヘモグロビンと赤血球恒数との関係で、MCV・MCHが低下するのは男性で12.5g/dL、女性で12.0〜12.5g/dLである[14]。また、鉄欠乏性貧血82例の私達の検討から、ヘモグロビンの分布域の上限は13.0g/dLであることをみると、現行の米国やわが国の基準である12.5g/dLは矛盾しない数字となってくる。


図1　赤血球恒数とヘモグロビン値の関係（男性）

図2　赤血球恒数とヘモグロビン値の関係（女性）

3）現在考えられる適切な採血基準は

　上記を踏まえて採血基準について考察すると、わが国では鉄欠乏状態にある女性の頻度が高く、抜本的対策の見出せない現状では、貧血のない鉄欠乏からの採血をできるだけ避けるために女性の基準は12.0g/dLよりは12.5g/dLのほうが妥当と思われる。また、男性については貧血のない鉄欠乏はほとんどないが、12.5〜13.0g/dLは貧血の人から採血することになり矛盾を生ずるので、13.0g/dLが妥当ではないかと思われる。

　いずれにしても、採血基準の改定には正確なデータに基づく議論が必要である。それには、日本人の鉄欠乏性貧血、貧血のない鉄欠乏、鉄欠乏のない健常人の頻度（これは現行の国民健康・栄養調査の個々のデータから算出可能である）、献血申込者のヘモグロビン不足による男女別、年齢別不適格者の頻度などの解析によって決められるべきであろう。