Anti-HEV status was determined by an enzyme immunoassay (HEV EIA, Abbott). HEV RNA in serum and stool was detected by real-time polymerase-chain-reaction (PCR) amplification (TaqMan, Applied Biosystems) of a 189-bp product located in the ORF2 region. Strains were sequenced and compared with reference HEV strains (GenBank). The grades and stages of chronic hepatitis were assessed according to the Metavir classification.

Proportions were compared by the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Quantitative variables were compared by the nonparametric Mann-Whitney, Friedman, and Wilcoxon tests. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

PREVALENCE OF ANTI-HEV IgG

All patients who received a kidney transplant (241 recipients) or a liver transplant (86 recipients) between January 1, 2004, and December 31, 2006, in the department of nephrology, dialysis, and multiorgan transplantation were screened for HEV infection at the time of transplantation. The prevalence of anti-HEV IgG was 13.5% for all recipients, 14.5% for kidney recipients, and 10.4% for liver recipients.

CLINICAL AND BIOLOGIC PRESENTATION

We identified 14 patients with a solid-organ transplant (3 liver recipients, 9 kidney recipients, and

i able 1.	SeutoRusbuic Le	aures of 11	anspiant h	ecipient		A STATE OF THE STATE OF	cuté HEV Infection.		
Patient No.	Organ Transplanted	HEV Infection†	Donor;	Years of Age	Sex	Mo since Transplan- tation	Initial Organ Disease	Induction Therapy	lmmunosuppressive Therapy
1 .	Liver	Chronic	Cadaver	57	М	. 6	Alcoholic cirrhosis	None	Tacrolimus/mycopheno late mofetil/steroid
2	Liver	Chronic	Cadaver	67	М	53	Alcoholic cirrhosis	Basiliximab	Tacrolimus/mycopheno late mofetil/steroid
3	Liver	Chronic	Cadaver	28	F	10	Wilson's disease	None	Tacrolimus/mycopheno late mofetil/steroid
4	Kidney	Chronic	Cadaver	49	М	10	Thrombotic micro- angiopathy	Basiliximab	Mycophenolate mofetil, steroid
5	Kidney	Resolving	Cadaver	34	M	90	Malformative uropathy	Rabbit antithymo- .cyte globulins	Everolimus/mycopheno late mofetil/steroid
6	Kidney	Resolving	Living	33 .	М	57 .	Interstitial nephropathy	[*] Basiliximab	Sirolimus/mycopheno late sodium/steroid
7	Kidney	Chronic	Cadaver	52	М	63	IgA nephropathy	None	Sirolimus/steroid
8 .	Kidney	Resolving	Cadaver	42	М	168	Crescentic glom- erulonephritis	Rabbit antithymo- cyte globulins	Cyclosporin A/mycophe nolate mofetil
9 .	Kidney	Chronic	Cadaver	30	M	48	Alport's disease	Rabbit antithymo- cyte globulins	Sirolimus/steroid
10	Kidney	Resolving	Cadaver	51	М	67	Interstitial nephropathy	Rabbit antithymo- cyte globulins	Cyclosporin A/mycophe nolate mofetil/steroid
11 .	Kidney	Resolving	Cadaver	62	F	108	Chronic glom- erulonephritis	Rabbit antithymo- cyte globulins	Cyclosporin A/steroid
12	Kidney	Resolving	Cadaver	28	M	25	IgA nephropathy	Rabbit antithymo- cyte globulins	Tacrolimus/mycopheno late mofetil/steroid
13	Kidney and pancreas	Chronic	Cadaver	55 .	F	60	Diabetes mellitus	Rabbit antithymo- cyte globulins	Tacrolimus/azathioprine steroid
14	Kidney and pancreas	Chronic	Cadaver	58	М	27	Diabetes mellitus	Rabbit antithymo- cyte globulins	Tacrolimus/mycophen

^{*} All patients were born in France, HEV denotes hepatitis E virus.

[†] Resolving indicates clearance of HEV RNA from serum and stools, and chronic indicates persisting elevated liver-enzyme levels and detectable RNA in the serum or stools at least 6 months after the acute phase.

Cadaveric donors had a heartbeat.

2 kidney and pancreas recipients) in whom acute HEV infection developed (Table 1). The acute hepatitis episode was asymptomatic in 7 of the 14 patients; these 7 patients were tested for HEV after liver-enzyme abnormalities were detected during routine biologic examinations that are performed every 3 to 4 months after organ transplantation. The seven other patients presented with fatigue, diffuse arthralgias, and myalgias that had evolved over a period of 1 to 2 weeks. One of the symptomatic patients also had marked weight loss (approximately 8 kg [18 lb] during the month before the presenting symptoms appeared) and was icteric. The symptoms disappeared within 2 weeks after diagnosis. No abnormalities were detected during physical examination of any other patient. No patients were febrile, and none had traveled outside France during the year before their hepatitis episode. Only two patients reported having been in contact with animals: one patient with chickens and rabbits and the other with birds. No patients had had an acute rejection episode after undergoing transplantation. Immunosuppressive therapy had remained unchanged in all patients for at least 6 months before their acute episode. Liver-enzyme levels were significantly higher than the levels 3 to 4 months before the diagnosis of HEV infection (Table 2).

DIAGNOSIS OF HEV INFECTION

At admission, classic causes of hepatitis were ruled out (Table 1 of the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at www.nejm.org). The ferritin level was 567 ng per milliliter (range, 110 to 2007; normal range, 30 to 380), and the ceruloplasmin level was 0.35 ng per milliliter (range, 0.24 to 0.47; normal range, 0.20 to 0.45). At diagnosis, HEV RNA was detected in the serum of all patients and in the stool of the three patients whose stool was examined. PCRamplification products of the serum HEV of 12 patients were sequenced and analyzed. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that all the strains belonged to genotype 3 (GenBank accession numbers, EU220992 to BU221003) (Fig. 1 of the Supplementary Appendix). We tried but failed to sequence the strains of the remaining two patients. No correlation was found between HEV RNA concentration and either liver-enzyme levels or liver-activity scores at diagnosis.

LIVER HISTOLOGIC FINDINGS DURING THE ACUTE PHASE

In the acute phase, 9 of the 14 patients underwent a liver biopsy to evaluate the severity of the acute episode of hepatitis; the remaining 5 patients declined biopsy. In liver-transplant recipients, liver biopsy also was performed to detect acute rejection. The mean (±SD) Metavir activity and fibrosis scores were 1.3±1.0 and 0.9±0.6, respectively (for assessment of disease activity, a Metavir score of 0 indicates no activity, 1 mild activity, 2 moderate activity, and 3 severe activity; for assessment of fibrosis, a Metavir score of 0 indicates no fibrosis, 1 portal fibrosis without septa. 2 a few septa, 3 numerous septa without cirrhosis, and 4 cirrhosis). The dominant lesions were lobular, with inflammation but no ballooning, and with spotty necrosis that included acidophilic bodies. The portal tract was mildly or moderately expanded and included an inflammatory infiltrate composed mainly of lymphocytes. Mild piecemeal necrosis was observed in six patients.

COURSE OF HEV INFECTION

Immunosuppressive therapy and target immunosuppressive trough levels were not modified after the diagnosis of HEV infection (data not shown). HEV infection resolved in six patients (43%); serum and stool HEV RNA in these patients became undetectable within 6 months after diagnosis and remained undetectable until the last follow-up at a mean of 12 months (range, 5 to 36) (Table 2). However, in the eight other patients (57%), HEV infection evolved to chronic hepatitis, as indicated by persistently elevated liver-enzyme levels and detectable HEV RNA in the serum or stool for a mean of 15 months (range, 10 to 24) after the acute phase.

Among the patients with resolving HEV infection, the levels of aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase returned to preinfection values within 1 month (five patients) or 3 months (one patient) after diagnosis. The levels of γ -glutamyltransferase and alkaline phosphate returned to baseline levels within 3 months after diagnosis. Among those with chronic HEV infection, liverenzyme levels remained above the upper limit of normal at the last follow-up. In both groups, the total bilirubin levels rapidly returned to preinfection levels. In both groups, hematologic and re-

Patient No.	Time of Measurement	Alanine Aminotransferase*	Aspartate `Aminotransferase;	γ-Gluṭamyl- transferase‡	Bilirubin§	Liver Biopsy	
	-	•	units/liter		mg/dl	Metavir activity score¶	Metavir fibrosis score
1	Baseline ·	10	16	18	584	;	
	Diagnosis	69	37	40	584	. 0	1
	15-Mo follow-up	59	41	30	409	3	2
2**	Baseline	102	95	1164	584		
	Diagnosis	. 248	229	3482	2339		
	16-Mo follow-up	59	54	173	701	1	3
3	Baseline	49	23	35	584		
	Diagnosis	169	76	76	994	1 .	1
	17-Mo follow-up	85	47	35	701	1	1
4	Baseline	. 26	12	19	701	- ,	_
•	Diagnosis	166	47	167	760	1	1
	15-Mo follow-up	. 135	57	146	760	3	1
5	Baseline	41	. 26	73	584	• •	
, •	Diagnosis	66	47	118	526	0	1
	5-Mo follow-up	52	35	148	584		_
6	Baseline	26	25	26	608		
	Diagnosis	245	104	118	468		
	12-Mo follow-up	30	32	18	584		
7	Baseline	26	18	55	397	•	
-	Diagnosis	874	436	669	701	. 1	0
	10-Mo follow-up	158	. 89	156	584 ·	•	
8	Baseline	32	24	32	1286	,	
	Diagnosis	770	340	373	2514		
	36-Mo follow-up	22	.22	19	1169		,
9	Baseline	42	39	26	584		
3	Diagnosis	310	160	. 92	643	2 .	2
	24-Mo follow-up	90	39	42	760	² . ² 2	2
10	Baseline	37	3 9 30	26	584		2
10	Diagnosis			459	1286		
	_	518	235	439 ´ 109			• •
	36-Mo follow-up	28	27		1286 351		
11	Baseline	23	18	42 1055		•	1
	Diagnosis	255	154	1035	3041	3	. T
10	12-Mo follow-up	. 13	7	· 80·	351	•	
12	Baseline	12	14	19	368	-	•
	Diagnosis	298	71	216	818	2 .	1.
	5-Mo follow-up	15	24	51	877		
13	Baseline	13	22	8 .	643		
	Diagnosis	156	115	. 47	935	2	0

Patient No.	Time of · Measurement	Alanine Aminotransferase*	Aspartate Aminotransferase†	γ-Glutamyl- transferase‡	Bilirubin¶	Liver Biopsy	
			units/liter		mg/dl	Metavir activity score¶	Metavir fibrosis score
14	Baseline	14	23	30	1169		
	Diagnosis	143	106	132	877		
	13-Mo follow-up	126	118	585	994	1	3
Median					•		
	Baseline	26	23	32	584		•
	Diagnosis††	248	115	167,	. 818	~	
	Follow-uptt	59	40	79.5	· 731		

- * Normal values for alanine aminotransferase range from 5 to 34 units per liter.
- † Normal values for aspartate aminotransferase range from 3 to 30 units per liter.
- † Normal values for γ-glutamyltransferase range from 7 to 38 units per liter.
- To convert values for bilirubin to micromoles per liter, multiply by 17.1. Normal values range from 2 to 21 mg per deciliter.
- For assessment of disease activity, a Metavir score of 0 indicates no activity, 1 mild activity, 2 moderate activity, and 3 severe activity.

 For assessment of fibrosis, a Metavir score of 0 indicates no fibrosis, 1 portal fibrosis without septa, 2 a few septa, 3 numerous septa without cirrhosis, and 4 cirrhosis.
- ** Patient 2 had substantial alcohol consumption before the acute phase.
- ††The differences between values at baseline and at diagnosis are significant for alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, and γ -glutamyltransferase (P=0.001) and for bilirubin (P=0.02).
- ‡‡The differences between values at diagnosis and at last follow-up (median, 15 months) are significant for alanine aminotransferase (P=0.003), aspartate aminotransferase (P=0.02), and γ-glutamyltransferase (P=0.03).

nal measurements remained unchanged during the follow-up as compared with preinfection levels (data not shown). HEV seroconversion was observed in four patients with resolving HEV infection (two at 1 month and one each at 3 and 6 months after diagnosis) and seven patients with chronic infection (one at 3 months, two at 6 months, two at 12 months, and one each at 13 and 15 months after diagnosis).

Only six of the eight patients with chronic infection underwent a second liver biopsy (one at 10 months, two at 12 months, and one each at 13, 15, and 18 months after the diagnosis of acute HEV infection). The two remaining patients declined liver biopsy. The mean Metavir activity and fibrosis scores of the six patients who underwent biopsy were 2.0±1.0 and 1.8±0.8, respectively. All biopsy specimens showed features of chronic viral hepatitis, characterized by fibrosis and portal hepatitis, with dense lymphocytic infiltrate and variable degrees of piecemeal necrosis. Lobular hepatitis was mild to moderate in all cases. In the four patients who underwent a liver biopsy during both the acute phase and the chronic phase, the Metavir activity scores progressed from 1.0±0.8 to 2.2±0.9 and the fibrosis scores from 1.2±0.5 to 1.5±0.5.

RESOLVING VERSUS CHRONIC HEV INFECTION

During the acute phase, there were no significant differences between the patients with resolving HEV infection and those with chronic infection in median serum HEV RNA concentrations (5.97 log₁₀ copies of RNA per milliliter [range, 5.79 to 6.44] and 6.18 log10 copies per milliliter [range, 4.92 to 7.28], respectively). There also were no significant differences between the groups in peak liver-enzyme levels. Hepatitis developed later after transplantation in patients with resolving HEV infection than in those in whom the infection progressed. Patients in whom chronic hepatitis developed had significantly lower serum creatinine levels at baseline and significantly lower counts of leukocytes, total lymphocytes, platelets, and CD2, CD3, and CD4 lymphocytes (Table 3). The percentages of patients who received induction therapy at transplantation or who received calcineurin inhibitors, mycophenolate mofetil or sodium, or inhibitors of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) were similar in the two groups. The dosage and trough levels of immunosuppressive drugs, as well as the proportions of patients with anti-hepatitis A virus, anticytomegalovirus, or IgG antibodies to Epstein-Barr virus, were similar in the two groups (data not shown).

Table 3. Patients with Resolving HEV Infection and Those in Whom the Infection Evolved to Chronic Hepatitis. Patients with Resolving Patients with Chronic Variable Infection (N=6) Infection (N=8) P Value median (range) At diagnosis Time since transplantation - mo 78.5 (25-168) 37.5 (6.0-63.0) 0.03 Leukocyte count --- ×10⁻³/mm³ 8.85 (6-9.66) 4.31 (2.19-7.20) 0.004 Lymphocyte count - ×10-3/mm3 0.004 Total 1.73 (1.12-2.33) 0.75 (0.63-1.04) CD2+ 1.59 (0.84-2.25) 0.66 (0.58-0.92) <0.001 CD3+ 1.54 (0.70-1.88) 0.61 (0.49-0.79) 0.01 CD4+ 0.93 (0.49-1.07) 0.004 0.22 (0.16-0.40) Platelet count --- ×10-3/mm3 261 (190-285) 155.5 (75.0-250.0) 0.01 Serum creatinine — mg/dl* 2.15 (1.31-2.84) 1.33 (1.08-1.89) 0.01 At last follow-up Aspartate aminotransferase — IU/liter 25.5 (7-35) 55.5 (39.0-238.0) 0.002 Alanine aminotransferase — IU/liter 25 (13-45) 108.0 (59.0-298.0) 0.002

DISCUSSION

HEV infection is transmitted by the fecal-oral. route and may be a zoonosis in industrialized countries. It has a mortality rate of about 1% in the general population and 30% in pregnant women.13 HEV-induced acute hepatitis may be fulminant,14 but we are not aware that any cases of chronic hepatitis have previously been reported. Recently, the diagnosis of many cases of acute HEV hepatitis in nonimmunocompromised patients in southwest France15 prompted us to look systematically for HEV in recipients of solid-organ transplants who had unexplained hepatitis. Of the 14 patients with acute HEV infection whom we report on here, 8 underwent progression to chronic hepatitis. In addition, in this issue of the Journal, Gérolami et al. report a case of HEV-related cirrhosis in a kidney-transplant recipient.16

After all other causes of hepatitis had been ruled out, the serum of 14 patients, none of whom had traveled outside France in the previous year, was found to be positive for HEV RNA. We did not identify any source of contamination. The peak aminotransferase levels were lower than in nonimmunocompromised patients. ^{17,18} Histologic lesions (mainly spotty lobular necrosis) that are characteristic of classic acute viral hepatitis were seen; these lesions were less severe than those typically seen in nonimmunocompromised pa-

tients. These findings could be related to the immunosuppressive therapy in transplant recipients.

HEV infection resolved in 6 of the 14 patients within 6 months after the end of the acute phase. In contrast, HEV infection in eight patients evolved to chronic hepatitis, as indicated by persistently elevated liver-enzyme levels and detectable serum HEV RNA at a median of 15 months (range, 10 to 24) after the end of the acute phase. Liver biopsies performed at a median of 12.5 months (range, 10 to 18) after the acute phase revealed signs of chronic viral hepatitis. The histologic lesions — dense lymphocytic portal infiltrate with constant piecemeal necrosis — were similar to those observed in patients chronically infected with hepatitis C virus. None of the patients received any specific therapy; in particular, none received antiviral therapy. Immunosuppressive therapy was not modified after the diagnosis of HEV. In the absence of available therapeutic recommendations for patients infected with HEV, we only performed close monitoring of liver-enzyme levels.

There were no significant differences between patients with resolving HEV infection and those with chronic HEV infection in demographic or clinical features, including treatment with immunosuppressive agents before the acute phase. However, the immunologic status of the patients may have had a role in the evolution to chronic dis-

^{*} To convert values for creatinine to micromoles per liter, multiply by 88.4.

ease. In patients in whom the infection became chronic, the time from transplantation to the development of infection was significantly shorter—and consequently, the total lymphocyte counts and the CD2, CD3, and CD4 lymphocyte counts were significantly lower—than in patients in whom HEV infection resolved. Hence, the T-cell response seems to have a role in HEV clearance, as does the B-cell response.

HEV seroconversion occurred later in patients with chronic infection than in those with resolving infection. This difference may be related to the reduction in the humoral immune response caused by treatment with mycophenolate, inhibitors of mTOR, or both. These drugs are known to decrease the synthesis of antibodies^{19,20} and to inhibit the cell-cycle progression and differentiation of human B lymphocytes.²¹ The humoral immune response is necessary to clear HEV and to prevent hepatitis. Bryan et al. have shown that antibodies to the HEV capsid can be protective against hepatitis E.²² Passive immunoprophylaxis studies in cynomolgus monkeys have confirmed

that the antibody to the HEV capsid may prevent HEV infection in humans.²³ Recently an HEV recombinant protein vaccine was found to be effective in preventing HEV infection.²⁴

Further studies are required to determine the incidence of chronic HEV infection in transplant recipients who live in areas where the disease is not endemic. Vaccination against HEV could be proposed to patients before or after organ transplantation. However, the efficacy of vaccination in these populations should be addressed.

In conclusion, our data suggest that HEV should be considered an etiologic agent of hepatitis in organ-transplant recipients. We have demonstrated that HEV infection can evolve to chronic hepatitis, at least in organ-transplant recipients. A longer follow-up is required to assess the outcome of HEV infection in organ-transplant recipients.

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

We thank Mrs. Martine Dubois for her technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- 1. Clemente-Casares P, Pina S, Buti M, et al. Hepatitis E virus epidemiology in industrialized countries. Emerg Infect Dis 2003;9:448-54.
- 2. Meng XJ, Wiseman B, Elvinger F, et al. Prevalence of antibodies to hepatitis E virus in veterinarians working with swine and in normal blood donors in the United States and other countries. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:117-22.
- Ibarra H, Riedemann S, Reinhardt G, Ardiles L, Calvo M, Siegel F. Anti-HEV in dialysis and renal transplant patients in an endemic region in Chile. Clin Nephrol 1998;50:267-8.
- 4. Buffet C, Laurent-Puig P, Chandot S, et al. A high hepatitis E virus seroprevalence among renal transplantation and haemophilia patient populations. J Hepatol 1996;24:122-5.
- Sinha S, Jha R, Lakhtakia S, Narayan G. Acute pancreatitis following kidney transplantation — role of viral infections. Clin Transplant 2003;17:32-6.
- Kamar N, Mansuy JM, Esposito L, et al. Acute hepatitis and renal function impairment related to infection by hepatitis B virus in a renal-allograft recipient. Am J Kidney Dis 2005;45:193-6.
- 7. Péron JM, Mansuy JM, Récher C, et al. Prolonged hepatitis E in an immunocompromised patient. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;21:1223-4.
- 8. Mechnik L, Bergman N, Attali M, et al. Acute hepatitis B virus infection presenting as a prolonged cholestatic jaundice. J Clin Gastroenterol 2001;33:421-2.

- 9. Tamura A, Shimizu YK, Tanaka T, et al. Persistent infection of hepatitis B virus transmitted by blood transfusion in a patient with T-cell lymphoma. Hepatol Res 2007;37:113-20.
- 10. Emerson SU, Purcell RH. Hepatitis E virus. Rev Med Virol 2003;13:145-54.
- 11. Mansuy JM, Peron JM, Bureau C, Alric L, Vinel JP, Izopet J. Immunologically silent autochthonous acute hepatitis E virus infection in France. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 47:012-3
- 12. Bedossa P, Poynard T. An algorithm for the grading of activity in chronic hepatitis C. Hepatology 1996;24:289-93.
- 13. Kumar A, Beniwal M, Kar P, Sharma JB, Murthy NS. Hepatitis E in pregnancy. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2004;85:240-4.
- 14. Péron JM, Bureau C, Poirson H, et al. Fulminant liver failure from acute autochthonous hepatitis E in France: description of seven patients with acute hepatitis E and encephalopathy. J Viral Hepat 2007;14: 298-303.
- 15. Mansuy JM, Peron JM, Abravanel F, et al. Hepatitis E in the south west of France in individuals who have never visited an endemic area. J Med Virol 2004;74:419-24.
- Gérolami R, Moal V, Colson P. Chronic heparitis B with cirrhosis in a kidneytransplant recipient. N Engl J Med 2008; 859-60.
- 17. Péron JM, Mansuy JM, Poirson H, et al. Hepatitis E is an autochthonous disease in industrialized countries: analysis of 23 patients in South-West France over a 13month period and comparison with hepa-

- titis A. Gastroenterol Clin Biol 2006;30: 757-62.
- 18. Peron JM, Danjoux M, Kamar N, et al. Liver histology in patients with sporadic acute hepatitis B: a study of 11 patients from South-West France. Virchows Arch 2007;450;405-10.
- 19. Rentenaar RJ, van Diepen FN; Meijer RT, et al. Immune responsiveness in renal transplant recipients: mycophenolic acid severely depresses humoral immunity in vivo. Kidney Int 2002;62:319-28.
- 20. Luo H, Chen H, Daloze P, Chang JY, St-Louis G, Wu J. Inhibition of in vitro immunoglobulin production by rapamycin. Transplantation 1992;53:1071-6.
- Aagaard-Tillery KM, Jelinek DF. Inhibition of human B lymphocyte cell cycle progression and differentiation by rapamycin. Cell Immunol 1994;156:493-507.
- 22. Bryan JP, Tsarev SA, Iqbal M, et al. Bpidemic hepatitis B in Pakistan: patterns of serologic response and evidence that the antibody to hepatitis B virus protects against disease. J Infect Dis 1994;170:517-21.
- 23. Tsarev SA, Tsareva TS, Emerson SU, et al. Successful passive and active immunization of cynomolgus monkeys against hepatitis E. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1994; 91:10198-202.
- 24. Shrestha MP, Scott RM, Joshi DM, et al. Safety and efficacy of a recombinant hepatitis E vaccine. N Engl J Med 2007; 356:895-903.
- Copyright © 2008 Massachusetts Medical Society.

医薬品 研究報告 調査報告書

識別番号·報告回数			報告日	第一報入手日 2008. 3. 18	新医薬品等 該当才		機構処理欄
一般的名称	解凍人赤』	血球濃厚液		Barin F, Cazein F, Lo J, Brunet S, Thierry I			
販売名(企業名)	解凍赤血球-LR「日	日赤」(日本赤十字社) 「日赤」(日本赤十字社) 赤」(日本赤十字社) 日赤」(日本赤十字社)	研究報告の公表状況		esenclos JC,	フランス	
新規HIV診断例の 年6月に、10,1840	OHIV血清型を同定す の新規診断例が報告 は、異性との接触によ	けるため、フランス国に された。HIV−2、HIV	νープO型の感染率:2003 内で調査用に採取された √1のグループO型感染の 出身の患者であった。HIV	乾燥血清spotsを用V)割合は、それぞれ1	.8、0.1%であっ	た。これら	使用上の注意記載状況・ その他参考事項等 解凍赤血球濃厚液「日赤」 照射解凍赤血球濃厚液「日赤」 解凍赤血球-LR「日赤」 照射解凍赤血球-LR「日赤」 血液を介するウイルス、 細菌、原虫等の感染 vCJD等の伝播のリスク
	報告企業の意見			今後の対応			
2003年1月〜2006年6月 診断例が報告され、HIV は、それぞれ1.8、0.1%で	√-2、HIV-1のグルー	プO型感染の割合	日本赤十字社では、HIV グNATを行い、陽性血液 比べて、より感度の高い 順次進めている。さらに、 次世代NATの導入に向	を排除している。ま 化学発光酵素免疫》 、HIV-2及びHIVグル	た、これまでの 削定法(CLEIA ⁄ープOの検出	凝集法と)の導入を	