Desticide residues in food 2006

Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues

2.4 SHORT-TERM DIETARY INTAKE ASSESSMENT: UNCERTAINTIES IN THE INTERNATIONALESTIMATED SHORT-TERM IN TAKE (IESTI) CALCULATION AND ITS INTERPRETATION.

Introduction

The JMPR uses the deterministic method for the International Estimated of Short-Term Intake (IESTI) of a particular pesticide from the consumption of a food commodity. This calculation was first introduced by a WHO Consultation on exposure assessment in 1997 and further developed by the JMPR in subsequent meetings (Chapter 3; 2005 JMPR Report).

In characterizing the risks associated with the short-term dietary exposure to a pesticide from the consumption of a certain food, the IESTI is compared with the established acute reference dose (ARfD) of the compound, and the intake expressed as a percentage of the ARfD. This value can then be used to make a judgment about the potential risk associated with the consumption of that food commodity.

In a case where an IESTI calculation, for a crop/pesticide combination, results in an intake higher than 100% ARfD, the Meeting will state according to current practice: "The information provided to the JMPR precludes an estimate that the short-term dietary intake would be below the ARfD for the consumption of the commodity". Due to the uncertainties in the assessment, arising from the uncertainties in each of the parameters or assumptions used, an exceedance of the ARfD does not necessarily represent a health risk to the consumers. The establishment of an ARfD which is necessarily conservative and/or a conservative assessment of exposure will lead to an overly conservative estimate of acute dietary risk.

Some governments, regional authorities, the CCPR and the JMPR have discussed the possibilities for improvement in the methodology currently used by the JMPR in assessing the short-term dietary intake of pesticide residues.

International Estimated Short-Term Intake (IESTI)

The equations below show the IESTI calculation used currently by the JMPR for raw agricultural commodities and when post-harvest treatment of the pesticide was used in grains, oil seeds and pulses:

Case 1: U < 25 g IESTI =
$$\underline{HR \times LP}$$

bw

Case 2: U ≥ 25g

Case 2a: LP > U
$$IESTI = \frac{HR \times v \times U + (LP - U) \times HR}{bw}$$

Case 2b: LP < U
$$IESTI = \frac{HR \times v \times LP}{bw}$$

Where:

HR = highest residue in composite samples from supervised trials conducted according to GAP, in mg/kg

 ν = variability factor, which gives the relationship between the 97.5th percentile of the residues in crop units and the average residue in the sampled lot of the commodity

LP = highest large portion provided (97.5th percentile of eaters), in kg of food per day

U = median unit size unit weight of the crop unit examined, in kg

Bw = mean body weight, of the selected population, in kg.

The information on each of these parameters and the limitations attached to the data provided to the Meeting are described below.

---- For processed commodities, Case 3:

Highest residue

The highest residue (HR) is estimated from supervised trials evaluated by the Meeting that have been conducted according to GAP. The uncertainties in these values are mainly associated with the residue dataset available to the JMPR. For major commodities moving in trade, a minimum of eight residue trials are necessary for recommendations to be made, but for minor or specialty crops, as low as three trials could be acceptable. When only limited residue data is available, and the distribution of the residue population is not known, the resulting MRL recommendation can be substantially higher than the HR.

The HR used in the IESTI calculation refers to the residues of toxicological concern present in the edible portion of the crop, while the MRL refers to a residue definition relevant for enforcement purposes related to the commodity in trade. There is a concern that conducting the assessment using the HR value instead of the MRL might not assure the safety of consumers, mainly when the MRL is much larger than the HR. The incorporation of statistical calculation in the recommendation process in 2006 (General Consideration 2.10), will improve the consistency in the estimations of the MRL made by the JMPR based on the available data.

Variability factor

For crops with unit weight > 25 g (Case 2), a variability factor of 3 applied to the HR value will represent a unit with the highest residue value. The variability factor reflects the variability of residues in individual units and is defined as the 97.5th percentile of residue data within a lot divided by the mean of the lot. The factor of 3 represents the mean of variability factors estimated from a dataset of residue data from over 22000 crop units in single plots from 13 countries representing 13 crops and 25 pesticides (2005 JMPR Report). Further improvement on this estimation may be made based on new data or new approaches.

Large portion, unit weight and body weight

Data on the consumption of large portions (LP), unit weight (U) and body weight used currently by the JMPR were provided by the governments of Australia, France, The Netherlands, Japan, Sweden, South Africa, the UK and the USA and compiled by GEMS/Food. The large portion value from each country represents the 97.5th percentiles of consumers; however, the information provided to GEMS/Food does not include the method used to collect the data neither the size of the dataset which was the base of the estimated LP. Consequently, the uncertainty behind the consumption data is unknown.

In the IESTI calculation, the unit weight value (U) will determine whether a variability factor is to be applied to the HR and whether the LP will be composed by more than one crop unit (Case 2a) or will be a portion of the unit (Case 2b). The Meeting does not know whether the U values provided represent the median of units consumed in a country or a different estimation. Also, it is not clear in all cases whether that value refers to the whole commodity or the edible portion.

The body weight (bw) data provided represent the mean body weight for children and for the general population in each country. However, the correlation between the large portion and body weight of each population should be established.

The IESTI was primarily developed to assess the short-term exposure arising from the consumption of food containing residues at levels found in supervised residue trials conducted according to GAP. Some countries have been applying the IESTI equations to assess the safety of food containing residues at levels found in monitoring and/or enforcement programs. The adequacy of such an approach needs to be discussed further.