predicting the potential vCJD infection risk for HA patients who use US manufactured human pdFVIII. However, as further scientific information and data become available in the future, the uncertainty in the model may decrease and the estimates of vCJD risk for recipients of pdFVIII may become more precise.

Evaluating the total vCJD infection risk for the severe HA population of 1,800 by summing the total annual exposure (at the higher vCJD Infection prevalence estimated), the model predicts that the population would use a total average of approximately 243 million IU FVIII. If the patient population used product that attained a clearance of 7-9 $\log_{10}$ and assuming the lower prevalence the model predicts that for the total patient population the mean total annual risk would be <u>1 case in 1.6 million</u> years representing a negligible vCJD risk that would likely not give rise to new cases of the disease. At the other end of the spectrum at the 2-3 $\log_{10}$ clearance level and the higher prevalence the model predicts a mean of approximately <u>13 vCJD infections per year</u> (Table 5.3.B.) for the patient population.

V. D. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis is used to identify the input parameter or parameters that have the greatest impact on the risk estimates generated by the model and are done by varying the values of key input parameters and evaluating the effect on the final risk estimate. Our goal in doing these analyses was to identify the key input parameters that have the greatest influence on annual exposure to the vCJD agent. The model was examined and candidate variables for the sensitivity analysis were chosen from the model that exhibited the largest potential for variability and/or uncertainty and those values are listed in Table 5-7. Importance analysis is a type of sensitivity analysis. Our importance analysis used two values, one at the minimum or 5th percentile value and one at the maximum or 95th percentile value to provide a reasonable estimate of impact across the range tested. The results from the importance analysis are displayed as tornado plots (**Figures 2.A., 2.B. and 2.C.**), which graphically shows the relative influence of each input parameter evaluated on the final model estimates. The most influential factors are displayed at the top of the plot and those that are least influential or those with negative influence on the risk are at the bottom of the plot.

For the FVIII risk assessment the output being monitored in the sensitivity and importance analyses was annual exposure (I_{yr}) to vCJD agent quantified in i.v.ID$_{50}$ units. The sensitivity and importance analysis were conducted using the HA patient population on prophylaxis treatment regimens with inhibitor and being treated for immune tolerance as the example population used to do the analyses. This population displayed the largest mean usage and the widest range in product utilization. We assumed that the sensitivity and importance analysis results are representative of all the HA and vWD patient populations included in our study since all of the populations were assumed to differ only by the total average quantity of pdFVIII utilized per year.

The importance analysis was performed for each variable by doing two sets of simulations, each with 5,000 iterations. For each set of simulations the value of one testing variable was set at the minimum or 5th percentile value for the input distribution and the simulation run; for the second run the variable was set at the maximum or 95th percentile value and the simulation run. The importance analysis was run separately each time using one of the three surveillance estimate

.61.

ranges. The first analysis used a range of 0.7 to 700 per million, which encompasses the entire range for both the HIGH and LOW prevalence estimates. The second analyses used the higher vCJD Infection prevalence estimate of 1 in 4,225 (or 237 per million) derived from a tissue surveillance study (Hilton et al 2004). This prevalence was based on the variable ($P_{vCJD-Surv}$) in the model that used data from a tissue surveillance study. To do the sensitivity analysis we used a 5th percentile value of 49 per million and a 95th percentile value of 692 per million. The third set of analyses used the lower vCJD Case prevalence estimate of ~1.8 per million based on epidemiological modeling from actual vCJD occurrence conducted by Clarke and Ghani (2005). This prevalence was ($P_{vCJD-Epi}$) based on epidemiologic modeling and to do the sensitivity analysis we used a 5th percentile value of 0.7 per million and a 95th percentile value of 4 per million. The results of all simulations and the ranking of input parameters by their importance is represented graphically using a tornado plot shown in Figures 2.A., 2.B. and 2.C. The tornado plot displays the correlations between key inputs in the model and the model output of exposure. A tornado plot prioritizes the various input factors with the most influential factors at the top and those that are least influential or those with negative influence on the risk are at the bottom of the plot.

Table 5.4: Input Variables included in Importance Analysis

Description of variables	Name of input variable	Importance analysis values	
Entire range of estimated vCJD prevalence in UK (cases/million)	$Prev_{vCJD-UK}$	Minimum:	0.7
		Maximum:	700
High prevalence estimate of vCJD in UK (cases/million)	$Prev_{vCJD-UK(Surveillance)}$	5th perc:	49
		95th perc:	692
Low vCJD prevalence in UK (cases/million)	$Prev_{vCJD-UK\ (Epi\ model)}$	5th perc:	0.7
		95th perc:	4.0
Efficiency of donor deferral policy	Eff_{Def}	Minimum:	85%
		Maximum:	99%
Efficiency of i.c. versus i.v. route	A_{ic-iv}	Minimum:	0.1
		Maximum:	1
Number of donors per plasma pool	DR_{Pool}	Minimum:	6500
		Maximum:	360000
Quantity of i.c. infectivity in infected human blood	I_{bl}	5th perc:	2
		95th perc:	30
Manufacturing yield of FVIII (IU/L plasma)	Y_{VIII}	Minimum:	120
		Maximum:	250
Log Manufacture Reduction of vCJD agent	R_{Log}	Minimum:	2
		Maximum:	9
FVIII used per year (IU/year)	IU_{yr}	5th perc:	10000
		95th perc:	4000000

62

Sensitivity analysis is used to study the quantitative relationship between the input variables and risk output. Same as in importance analysis, output to be monitored in sensitivity analysis is annual exposure (I_{yr}) to vCJD of young HA patients under prophylaxis treatment with inhibitor and immune tolerance treatment. Sensitivity analysis for an input variable consists of multiple simulations. In each simulation the testing input variable is fixed at one value within the input range. Results of sensitivity analysis are presented only for the most important input variables, which were identified by the ranking provided by the importance analysis.

Fig 2. A. Importance Analysis ranking influential factors for predicted annual vCJD exposure (I_{yr}) using prevalence estimate encompassing the range of values for both high and low prevalence from 0.7 to 700 vCJD cases per million UK population. Tornado chart showing impact of input variables on estimated annual exposure of severe HA patient with prophylaxis, inhibitor and immune tolerance treatment


A. Analysis with Inputs Encompassing Entire High/Low Range of Prevalence from 0.7 to 700 cases per million

Log Manufacture Reduction vCJD Agent
FVIII used per yr (IU/yr, person)
Prevalence UK vCJD (cases/million)
Efficiency of i.c. versus i.v. route
Quantity Infectivity in Blood (ID50/ml)
Yield of FVIII from plasma (IU/L plasma)
Efficiency of Donor Deferral Policy

Fig 2. B. FVIII Importance Analysis ranking influential factors for predicted annual vCJD exposure (I_{yr}) using Tissue Surveillance-based (HIGH) prevalence estimate. Tornado plot showing impact of input variables on estimated per treatment course exposure of pdFVIII recipients.

63

B. Analysis using Inputs Representing Values for High
Prevalence ranging from
49 to 692 cases per million

Log Manufacture Reduction vCJD agent
FVIII used per year (IU/yr, person)
Prevalence UK vCJD (cases/million)
Quantity Infectivity in Blood (ic ID50)
Efficiency of i.c. versus i.v. route
Manufacturing yield FVIII (IU/L plasma)
Efficiency of Donor Deferral Policy
Number Donors per plasma pool

Fig 2. C. FVIII Importance Analysis ranking influential factors for predicted annual vCJD exposure (I_{yr}) using Epi Modeling-based (LOW) prevalence estimate. Tornado plot showing impact of input variables on estimated per treatment course exposure of pdFVIII recipients.

C. Analysis with Inputs Representing Values for Low Prevalence ranging from 0.7
to 4 cases per million

FVIII used per yr (IU/yr, person)
Log Manufacture Reduction vCJD Agent
Efficiency of i.c. versus i.v. route
Prevalence UK vCJD (cases/million)
Number Donations per pool (DR/pool)
Yield of FVIII from plasma (IU/L plasma)
Efficiency of Donor Deferral Policy
Quantity Infectivity in Blood (ID50/ml)

Some input variables are used multiple times in the original model, for instance each type of plasma pool (Source or recovered) was modeled on an individual basis. Other examples are pool size (DR_{pool-S} and DR_{pool-R}), yield (Y_{FVIII}), quantity of i.c. infectivity in the infected human blood (I_{bi}) and the reduction of infectivity during manufacturing (R_{Log}). In importance analysis and sensitivity analysis, when these input variables are tested, we assumed that there was no difference among the pools. When evaluating the impact of a specific variable all other values are held constant during the simulation. When simulating parameters with multiple values (e.g., size of recovered plasma pools) all values are the same for the simulation. The magnitude of changes in risk output associated with changes of input variables are graphed in the tornado chart, which

64

represents the relative ranking of the input variables by their impacts on the risk outcome. The importance analysis was conducted for three possible ranges of UK vCJD prevalence: one set of analysis for tonsil survey based estimate, one set for epidemiology model-based estimate and another set for the two prevalence estimates combined.

The order of the influence of the specific input factors varies slightly when the importance analysis is conducted using the three difference prevalence estimates. When a higher prevalence estimate was used (either the combined prevalence (0.7 to 700 per million) the tornado plots in **Figures 2.A. and 2.B.** both show that clearance or Log reduction of the vCJD agent (R_{Log}) during the manufacturing process is the dominant factor that influences the annual exposure or risk for a pdFVIII recipient. The importance analysis suggests that changes in the input values for prevalence used in the analysis can cause some visible changes in the rank order of the influence of the various input factors. A change in the rank order of model factors is seen when the lower prevalence estimate of 0.7 to 4 per million is used (**Figure 2. C.**). The dominant factor potentially driving risk then becomes the quantity of pdFVIII used by a patient.

In our importance analysis the five variables that had significant influence on the output of the model were clearance of i.v. ID_{50} from pdFVIII products, pdFVIII use (IU/yr), UK vCJD prevalence, adjustment for the efficiency of transmission via the i.c. route vs. the i.v. route, and the quantity of i.v. ID_{50} in blood. Changes in prevalence did cause the variable parameters to reassort and change rank when the different prevalence estimates were used. Overall, however, they were somewhat similar in asserting their influence on the estimated risk outcome(s), but had significantly less influence when compared to that of reduction of infectivity during processing and manufacture. Although these types of sensitivity analysis and tornado plots are often used to identify influential factors of risk, their use has some limitations. Factors are examined singly or in isolation so interaction among various factors that may influence the risk estimate are not addressed.

General comments on model outputs

The risk estimations in this section of the risk assessment are predicated on the assumption that there is homogeneous mixing and dispersion of vials from all pools among all donors. In reality, vials may not be dispensed homogeneously and it is likely that patients draw from only one or a few manufactured lots of pdFVIII product in a given year. FDA did not have data to model this non-homogeneous dispensing of pdFVIII but the model can be used to estimate the average maximal level of i.v. ID_{50} exposure if on a very rare chance all vials used by a patient in a given year happened to contain vCJD agent.

V. E. Uncertainty and Data Gaps

Uncertainty arises from the absence of information or availability of limited information. In our probabilistic model statistical distributions are used, where possible, to represent the uncertainty of much of the information used in the model. There are uncertainties in the information and the model that we were unable to quantify and that are not represented in the final risk estimates. Some of the difficult to quantify uncertainties are associated with the extrapolation of a human dose-response relationship based on animal data, an assumed linear dose response with no

65

uncertainty or variability bounds, and assumption of infectivity in the last 50% of the incubation period. We express the uncertainty of the final risk estimates generated from the model using a mathematical mean (average) of exposure in ID_{50} units and the 5th and 95th percentiles, which represent the 90% confidence interval for each estimate. The uncertainty for the risk estimates generated by this FVIII risk assessment model is significant and decision makers should use the results with caution. Similarly, patients and physicians should understand that the uncertainties are too great at this time to determine the presence, absence or degree of actual risk. In the future, additional research and information may be substituted for assumptions or used to improve estimates for the individual parameters and ultimately improve the precision of the final risk estimates generated by the model.

Even considering the associated uncertainty of estimated risks, risk assessment provides an estimate of risk based on the current and known information. It is still a useful tool that can inform the science-based decision making process. It can identify data gaps and research priorities where additional research and information would have the greatest impact on enhancing the final risk estimates. The sensitivity analysis results in Section IV.C. indicated that the risk assessment results are highly dependent upon log reduction of vCJD agent (R_{Log}) during the manufacturing process. The modeled estimates were based upon levels of reduction seen for manufacturing steps of several different types of plasma-derived products that were similar in some but not all respects to those used in the manufacture of FVIII products. More high quality data on the levels of vCJD agent clearance achieved during the pdFVIII manufacturing would likely improve the final risk estimate generated by the FDA model. Given the lack of data on vCJD agent clearance for pdFVIII uncertainty is considerable.

Better information on when infectivity is present in human blood during the incubation period is a critical factor in the model, especially if the higher vCJD infection prevalence estimate (of 1 in 4,225) is in the range of the actual vCJD prevalence, and would improve predictions generated by the model. There are no data available on the level of infectious units or ID_{50} units present in the bloodstream of vCJD infected individuals at the time of blood donation. The model extrapolates an estimate of the level of vCJD agent that might be present in human blood based on data from several animal models. However, the presence and level of agent present in an infected individual at the time of blood donation could differ from our assumption and this adds to the uncertainty of the risk assessment outcomes.

The model estimates exposure to the vCJD agent in the form of intravenous ID_{50} units. Data are not available to estimate the probability of various clinical outcomes, such as infection or illness that might be predicted to arise from exposure to a particular level of agent. Although we did estimate a probability of infection in our model, the uncertainty associated with the estimate is considerable. However, a meaningful dose-response model would need to be generated for vCJD exposure in humans to improve estimates of the probability of adverse clinical outcomes for humans. The type of data needed to generate a dose-response model that would improve the quality of TSE risk assessment predictions would necessitate injection of groups of animals at several different concentrations of ID_{50}, including low doses below 1 ID_{50} using a protocol that mimics transfusion transmission of vCJD in humans. Both infection and duration of the incubation periods at several different i.v. ID_{50} concentrations would be useful endpoints for developing informative dose-response relationships. Given the state of the current TSE science, estimates of the probability of vCJD infection or illness arising from exposure to the vCJD agent are still extremely uncertain. Nevertheless risk assessment is a tool that provides insight into

66

important factors where additional research is needed into production processes, tools, or strategies that may further reduce vCJD risks and advance product safety for patients.

The manufacturing processes for pdFVIII are highly varied – therefore, any potential clearance of the vCJD agent during production is likely variable and dependent upon the specific steps used to produce the final product. For example, the techniques applied in fractionation process vary from manufacture to manufacture including the sizes of plasma pools used for producing pdFVIII, the yield of products, and the reduction of infectivity during processing varies within a limited range from batch to batch. In addition the utilization of pdFVIII varies from individual to individual. This risk assessment considers the typical production and utilization. Uncertainty from the model should be appreciated. Human plasma-derived FVIII is typically prepared through successive steps of large scale fractionation during the manufacturing process. Cryoprecipitation is the first and a common step in preparation of pdFVIII. Afterward, cryoprecipitate undergoes further fractionation procedures such as precipitation, absorption/desorption, ion exchange and filtration to yield intermediate purity FVIII, ███████████████████████████ In certain cases some hospitals may prepare small amount of cryoprecipitate FVIII from small plasma pools (1-8 donations/pool) for special treatment purposes. Preliminary risk assessment results indicated that the risk that vCJD would be transmitted through cryoprecipitated AHF is relatively low due to the small size of plasma pool and small numbers of donors involved. This risk assessment uses 3 ranges of possible clearance of vCJD agent from pdFVIII of 2-3 $\log_{10}$, 4-6 $\log_{10}$, and 7-9 $\log_{10}$ to cover the possible ranges for all pdFVIII products presently in the marketplace.

General comments on model outputs

The risk estimations in this section of the risk assessment are predicated on the assumption that there is homogeneous mixing and dispersion of vials from all pools among all donors. In reality, vials may not be dispensed homogeneously and it is likely that patients draw from only one or a few manufactured lots of pdFVIII product in a given year. FDA did not have data to model this non-homogeneous dispensing of pdFVIII but the model can be used to estimate the average maximal level of i.v. ID_{50} exposure if on a very rare chance all vials used by a patient in a given year happened to contain vCJD agent.

V. F. Conclusions

Results from the FDA pdFVIII risk assessment model suggest that the risk of vCJD infection from US manufactured pdFVIII generally appears likely to be very low, but may not be zero. For US plasma donors, the major source of vCJD risk is dietary exposure during travel and/or residency in the UK, France, or other countries in Europe since 1980. Although donor deferral criteria in place since 1999 have reduced the risk of donation by exposed persons some are not deferred and potentially may donate plasma that contains the vCJD agent. However, the model suggests that the likelihood of a vCJD contaminated plasma pool is low.

Manufacturing processes for human pdFVIII products likely reduce the quantity of vCJD agent, if present, but the level of reduction through manufacturing steps is not precisely known. Clearance of TSE agents in manufacturing appears to vary among products, but has not been measured in standardized studies which might allow more meaningful direct comparisons. Based on currently available experimental studies, it is estimated that pdFVIII products potentially have

67

4 $\log_{10}$ (or 10,000 fold) or greater manufacturing process reduction of the vCJD agent. Assuming a 4-6 $\log_{10}$ manufacturing process reduction, the modeling predicts that the potential risk per person per year for patients with severe HA using pdFVIII ranges from1 in 15,000 for the higher vCJD prevalence estimate and high product usage to 1 in 9.4 million for the lower vCJD prevalence estimate and low product usage. Due to the wide range of methods used for clearance studies currently available, gaps in information, and the results of the model, it is not possible at this time to determine with any certainty if a specific product may be less or more safe than another.

Although results of the model suggest exposure to vCJD agent is possible, and there is a potential risk of infection that is likely to be very low, it is not possible for the model to provide a *precise* estimate of the vCJD risk in general, or of the actual risk to individual patients. Although the actual risk is highly uncertain, the risk assessment model indicates that the most important factors affecting risk are the clearance of the vCJD agent though manufacturing steps, how much product individuals used, and the vCJD prevalence in the UK donor population.

In considering the results of the risk assessment it is important to note that to date we are not aware of any cases of vCJD having been reported worldwide in patients receiving plasma-derived products, including pdFVIII.. This includes patients receiving large amounts of other products manufactured from UK plasma donations over a long period of time. This observation suggests that the actual risk of vCJD infection from pdFVIII is likely to be very low. The absence of cases does not rule out the possibility of exposure that could potentially result in illness in some recipients at some future point in time.

REFERENCES

Belay ED, Sejvar JJ, Shieh WJ, Wiersma ST, Zou WQ, Gambetti P, Hunter S, Maddox RA, Crockett L, Zaki SR, Schonberger LB. (2005) Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease death, United States. Emerg Infect Dis. 2005 Sep;11(9):1351-4.

BPL "Guidelines Issued by the UK Haemophilia Centre Directors for the Use of Factor XI Concentrate" draft dated 1/23/2001

Brown P, Rohwer RG, Dunstan BC, MacAuley C, Gajdusek DC, Drohan WN. The distribution of infectivity in blood components and plasma derivatives in experimental models of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy. Transfusion 1998; 38: 810-816.

Brown P, Cervenakova L, McShane LM, Barber P, Rubenstein R, Drohan WN. (1999) Further studies of blood infectivity in an experimental model of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy, with an explanation of why blood components do not transmit Creutzfelt-Jakob disease in humans. Transfusion. Nov-Dec;39(11-12):1169-78.

Bruce ME, McConnell I, Will RG, Ironside JW. Detection of variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease infectivity in extraneural tissues. Lancet. 2001 Jul 21;358(9277):208-9.

Centers for Disease Control Universal Data Collection Program (UDC) (2004) Report on the Universal Data Collection Program, Vol.6 No. 2.

Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Infectious Diseases (June 27, 2005) "Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy and Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease" from *Health Information for International Travel, 2005-2006* at http://www2.ncid.cdc.gov/travel/yb/utils/ybGet.asp?section =dis&obj=madcow.htm&cssNav=browsecyb (accessed on August 21, 2006).

Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Infectious Diseases (August 14, 2006) "Update: Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease in a U.K. Citizen Who Had Temporarily Resided in Texas, 2001-2005" at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvrd/vcjd/other/probablevcjd_texas2001_2005_111805.htm (accessed on Oct 12, 2006).

Cervenakova L, Yakovleva O, McKenzie C, Kolchinsky S, McShane L, Drohan WN, Brown P. Similar levels of infectivity in the blood of mice infected with human-derived vCJD and GSS strains of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy. Transfusion 2003; 43:1687-94.

CJD Incidents Panel. Recommendations of the CJD Incidents Panel. September 4, 2005. Letter found at http://www.hpa.org.uk/infections/topics_az/cjd/vCJDPP-RecoIP.pdf accessed Aug 17, 2006.

Clarke P and Ghani AC. Projections of the future course of the primary vCJD epidemic in the U.K.: inclusion of subclinical infection and the possibility of wider genetic susceptibility. J. R. Soc. Interface 2005; 2:19-31.

69

Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre (CDSC) (2005) Email communication on age distribution of UK plasma donors, May 26, 2005.

Cervenakova L, Brown P, Hammond DJ, Lee CA, Saenko EL.(2002) Factor VIII and transmissible spongiform encephalopathy: the case for safety. Haemophilia. Mar;8(2):63-75.

Cervenakova L, Yakovleva O, McKenzie C, Kolchinsky S, McShane L, Drohan WN, Brown P. Similar levels of infectivity in the blood of mice infected with human-derived vCJD and GSS strains of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy. Transfusion. 2003 Dec;43(12):1687-94.

CJD Incidents Panel (2004). vCJD and Plasma Products - Recommendations of the CJD Incidents Panel, September 7, 2004.

Det Norske Veritas. Risk Assessment of Exposure to vCJD Infectivity in Blood and Blood Products – for Department of Health. February, 2003. United Kingdom.

Evatt B, Austin H, Barnhart E, Schonberger L, Sharer L, Jones R, DeArmond S. Surveillance for Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease among persons with hemophilia. Transfusion. 1998 Sep;38(9):817-20.

Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for Industry Revised Preventive Measures to Reduce the Possible Risk of Transmission of Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease (CJD) and Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease (vCJD) by Blood and Blood Products. January 2002.

Foster PR, Welch AG, McLean C, Griffin BD, Hardy JC, Bartley A, MacDonald S,Bailey AC. (2000) Studies on the removal of abnormal prion protein by processes used in the manufacture of human plasma products. Vox Sang. 2000;78(2):86-95.

Foster PR.(2004) Removal of TSE agents from blood products. Vox Sang. Jul;87 Suppl 2:7-10.

Foster PR, Griffin BD, Bienek C, McIntosh RV, MacGregor IR, Somerville RA, Steele PJ, Reic HE.(2004) Distribution of a bovine spongiform encephalopathy-derived agent over ion-exchange chromatography used in the preparation of concentrates offibrinogen and factor VIII. Vox Sang. Feb;86(2):92-9.

Gregori L, McCombie N, Palmer D, Birch P, Sowemimo-Coker SO, Giulivi A, Rohwer RG. (2004). Effectiveness of leucoreduction for removal of infectivity of transmissible spongiform encephalopathies from blood. Lancet 364(9433): 529-531.

http://www.hemophilia.org/bdi/bdi_types9.htm (accessed Oct 26, 2004).

Health Protection Agency of the United Kingdom, Statistics Unit, Centre for Infections. 2006. Incidence of Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease Deaths in the UK January 1994 – June 2006 at http://www.cjd.ed.ac.uk/vcjdqjun06.htm (accessed August 21, 2006).

70

Hilton DA, Ghani A, et al. Accumulation of prion protein in tonsil and appendix: review of tissue samples. BMJ 2002; 325:633-634.

Hilton DA, Ghani A, et al. Prevalence of lymphoreticulatar prion protein accumulation in UK tissue samples. J Path 2004; 203:733-739.

Houston F, Foster JD, Chong A, Hunter N, Bostock CJ. Transmission of BSE by blood transfusion in sheep. Lancet 2000; 356:999-1000.

Hunter N, Foster J, Chong A, McCutcheon S, Parnham D, Eaton S, MacKenzie C, Houston F. Transmission of prion diseases by blood transfusion. J Gen Virol 2002; 83:2897-2905.

Ironside JW, Hildon DA, Ghani A, et al. Retrospective study of prion-protein accumulation in tonsil and appendix tissues. Lancet 2000; 355: 1693-1694.

Jacquemot C, Cuche C, Dormont D, Lazarini F. High incidence of scrapie induced by repeated injections of subinfectious prion doses 2005; 79:8904-8908.

Kimberlin, R. (1996). Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy and Public Health: Some Problem and Solutions in Accessing the Risks. Proceedings of the Third international Symposium on Subacute Spongiform Encephalopathies, Paris.

Lee CA, Ironside JW, Bell JE, Giangrande P, Ludlam C, Esiri MM, McLaughlin JE. Retrospective neuropathological review of prion disease in UK haemophilic patients. Thromb Haemost. 1998 Dec;80(6):909-11.

Lee DC, Stenland CJ, Miller JL, Cai K, Ford EK, Gilligan KJ, Hartwell RC, Terry JC, Rubenstein R, Fournel M, Petteway SR Jr. (2001) A direct relationship between the partitioning of the pathogenic prion protein and transmissible spongiform encephalopathy infectivity during the purification of plasma proteins. Transfusion. 2001 Apr;41(4):449-55.

Linden JV, Kolakoski MH, Lima JE, Du P, Lipton RA. Factor concentrate usage in persons with hemophilia in New York State. Transfusion. 2003 Apr;43(4):470-5.

Llewelyn CA, Hewitt PE, Knight RS, Amar K, Cousens S, Mackenzie J, Will RG. Possible transmission of variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease by blood transfusion. Lancet. 2004 Feb 7;363(9407):417-21.

Peden AH, Head MW, Ritchie DL, Bell JE, Ironside JW. (2004) Preclinical vCJD after blood transfusion in a PRNP codon 129 heterozygous patient. Lancet. 364(9433):527-9.

MESAC Council, 2001

(NBDRC, 2005) The number of annual blood donations is approximately 14,000,000

National Research Council. (1983) Risk Assessment in the Federal Government: Managing the Process. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.

71