

Detailed Data 1 Status of formulating health promotion plans in prefectures/municipalities

[Status of formulating health promotion plans in prefectures] Plans were already formulated in every prefecture (at the end of March 2002)

[Status of formulating health promotion plans in municipalities and special wards]

| | Total | Already formulated | To be formulated in FY 2008 | To be formulated in FY 2009 | To be formulated in FY 2010 or later | No plans to do |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Health center-designated cities | 66 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Special wards in Tokyo | 23 | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| Other municipalities | 1,710 | 1,208 | 77 | 84 | 292 | 49 |

[Status of formulating health promotion plans in municipalities by prefectures]

(As of Dec. 31, 2009)

| Prefecture | Number of municipalities | Already formulated | Formulation rate | In FY 2009 | In FY 2010 | In FY 2011 or later | No plans to do |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Hokkaido | 175 | 87 | 49.7% | 5 | 7 | 66 | 10 |
| Aomori | 39 | 39 | 100.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Iwate | 34 | 31 | 91.2% | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Miyagi | 35 | 32 | 94.1% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Akita | 24 | 19 | 79.2% | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Yamagata | 35 | 35 | 100.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fukushima | 57 | 29 | 50.9% | 5 | 7 | 16 | 0 |
| Ibaraki | 44 | 22 | 50.0% | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| Tochigi | 29 | 26 | 89.7% | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gunma | 35 | 31 | 88.6% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Saitama | 68 | 39 | 57.4% | 3 | 2 | 24 | 0 |
| Chiba | 53 | 22 | 41.5% | 1 | 1 | 29 | 0 |
| Tokyo | 38 | 26 | 68.4% | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 |
| Kanagawa | 29 | 14 | 48.3% | 1 | 2 | 7 | 5 |
| Niigata | 30 | 29 | 96.7% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Toyama | 14 | 11 | 78.6% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Ishikawa | 18 | 13 | 72.2% | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fukui | 17 | 14 | 82.4% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Yamanashi | 28 | 26 | 92.9% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagano | 79 | 55 | 69.6% | 5 | 2 | 17 | 0 |
| Gifu | 41 | 34 | 82.9% | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Shizuoka | 35 | 34 | 97.1% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aichi | 56 | 56 | 100.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mie | 30 | 12 | 40.0% | 3 | 7 | 8 | 0 |
| Shiga | 25 | 19 | 76.0% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Kyoto | 25 | 9 | 36.0% | 1 | 4 | 11 | 0 |
| Osaka | 39 | 33 | 84.6% | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Hyogo | 37 | 36 | 97.3% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nara | 38 | 33 | 86.8% | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| Wakayama | 29 | 18 | 62.1% | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| Tottori | 19 | 13 | 68.4% | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Shimane | 21 | 21 | 100.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Okayama | 25 | 23 | 92.0% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hiroshima | 20 | 20 | 100.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yamaguchi | 19 | 12 | 52.6% | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| Tokushima | 24 | 15 | 63.2% | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| Kagawa | 16 | 16 | 100.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehime | 19 | 17 | 89.5% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kochi | 34 | 18 | 52.9% | 7 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| Fukuoka | 62 | 26 | 41.9% | 0 | 1 | 25 | 10 |
| Saga | 20 | 13 | 65.0% | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| Nagasaki | 21 | 21 | 100.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kumamoto | 46 | 23 | 50.0% | 7 | 10 | 6 | 0 |
| Oita | 17 | 15 | 88.2% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miyazaki | 27 | 14 | 51.9% | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 |
| Kagoshima | 44 | 27 | 61.4% | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| Okinawa | 41 | 30 | 73.2% | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| | 1,710 | 1,208 | 70.6% | 77 | 84 | 292 | 49 |

(Note) Excluding health center-designated cities and special wards.