Radioactive materials in foods - current situation and protective measures -

Pharmaceutical Safety and Environmental Health Bureau
Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare
MHLW’s Four Actions for Safety

- Establish limits
- Adopt Rigorous Monitoring System
- Restrict Distribution of Contaminated Food
- Enhance Transparency

Provide Safe Foods for All Consumers
Control of radioactive materials in foods

- **Establish limits for radioactive materials in foods**
  - The indicator values given by the Nuclear Safety Commission were set as the provisional regulation values. (March 17, 2011 - March 31, 2012)
  - The present limits for radioactive materials in foods took effect. (April 1, 2012 - )

- **Monitor radioactive materials in foods**
  - The monitoring is conducted by the local governments around the 17 prefectures. (March 18, 2011 - )
  - The Nuclear Emergency Response Headquarters established guidelines on the local governments’ formulation of monitoring plans for radioactive materials in foods. (April 4, 2011)

- **Recall and dispose of foods containing radioactive materials above the limits**
  All the articles in a lot in which the levels are exceeded are recalled or disposed of.

- **Restrict the distribution of foods**
  Distribution is restricted on a prefecture basis (or a smaller area basis in a prefecture), judging from the spreading of places where radioactive materials above the limits are detected as a result of inspections. (March 21, 2011-)

- **Lift restrictions**
  Every testing result from samples collected within the past one month from at least three different locations in a municipality must be below the corresponding limits.
Concept of the Japanese limits

- **The limits are based on 1 mSv in a year consistent with an intervention exemption level adopted by codex**

- **The limits are based on more conservative assumption than codex**
  - Even if as much as 50% of the foods are contaminated at the limit value, effective dose of most vulnerable age group is expected to be below 1 mSv/year (the intervention level), including the exposure to strontium, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Limit (Bq/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drinking water</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Foods</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Foods</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1 mSv/year**
The concept of radionuclides to be regulated

Dose limit of 1 year per person for the standard limits

1 mSv

Drinking Water

about 0.1 mSv

Food

about 0.9 mSv (0.88~0.92)

Radioactive cesium

Assign equivalent dose where drink the 10 Bq/kg water for 1 year

Taking into account the effects of radionuclides other than cesium
(e.g. :by the largest computation, 12% of dose from food for age 19 and older)
※Sr-90, Pu, Ru-106
The Concept of the limit for “General Foods”

Calculate limit values, taking into consideration the intake and conversion coefficient according to age category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age category</th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>under 1</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-18</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 and older</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pregnant</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Limit: 100 Bq/kg

1 mSv/year
0.9 mSv/year

0.1 mSv/year (Drinking water)
## The range of food categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food category</th>
<th>The reason to establish the limits</th>
<th>The range of foods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Drinking water         | 1 Water is essential for human life and there is no substitution for water, and its consumption is large.  
                        | 2 WHO’s guidance level for radioactive cesium in drinking water is 10Bq/kg.                      | ○Drinking water, water used for cooking and tea drinks, which is substitute for water |
|                        | 3 Strict management is possible for the radionuclides in tap water.                               |                                                                                   |
| Infant Foods           | ○The Food Safety Commission pointed out that “the susceptibility to radiation may be higher in childhood than in adulthood.” | ○Foods approved to be labeled as “fit for infants” based on Article 26 Paragraph 1 of the Health Promotion Law  
                        |                                                                                       | ○Foods and drinks sold as intended for infants                                         |
| Milk                   | 1 Children consume a lot.                                                                          | ○“Milk” and “milk drinks” refers to products specified in Article 2 Paragraph 1 and 40 of the Ministerial Ordinance concerning Compositional Standards Etc. for Milk and Milk Products. |
|                        | 2 Food Safety Commission pointed out that “a susceptibility to radiation may be higher in childhood than in adulthood.” |                                                                                   |
| General Foods          | For the following reasons, foods other than given above are categorized as “General Foods”       | ○Foods other than given above                                                       |
|                        | 1 It is possible to make the influence of individual differences in eating habits (deviation of the foods to be consumed) minimal. |                                                                                   |
|                        | 2 Regulation intelligible for people                                                                 |                                                                                   |
|                        | 3 Consistency with international views, such as these of Codex Alimentarius Commission             |                                                                                   |
The concept of radionuclides to be regulated (1)

Standard limits set the radioactive cesium as an indicator

- Targets to be regulated are all radionuclides based on the evaluation of the Nuclear and Industrial Safety Agency as substances emitted by the Fukushima nuclear power plant accident, and whose half-life is over 1 year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulated Radionuclides</th>
<th>Physical Half-life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cs-134</td>
<td>2.1 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137</td>
<td>30 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr-90</td>
<td>29 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>14 years or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-106</td>
<td>374 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The limits are not established for radioactive Iodine, which has a short half-life (8 days) and has been no longer detected, and for Uranium, whose level is almost the same in the nuclear power plant site as in the natural environment.

- Because radionuclides other than Cs-134 and Cs-137 require a longer time for measurement, the limits for radioactive cesium are established for effective dose of radionuclides (including Sr-90, Ru-106, Pu) not to exceed 1 mSv/year.
The concept for establishment of the limits

The limits for radioactive cesium are established for effective dose of radionuclides (including Sr-90, Ru-106, Pu) not to exceed 1mSv/year. Because radionuclides other than Cs-134 and Cs-137 require a longer time for measurement, following procedure is taken to establish the limits.

- Analyze the migration ratio of each radionuclide according to migration pathway, derive the contribution of radioactive cesium according to product and age categories, and establish the limits for radioactive cesium so that the sum of effective dose does not exceed 1mSv/year.

For example, the contribution of radionuclides other than radioactive cesium is about 12% (in case of people aged 19 and older).
The range of “Milk” and limits for “Milk” and “Infant Foods”

<Foods included in “Milk” category>
The “Milk” category covers milk and milk drinks.

Milk drinks are drink products which are made mainly of milk as the main ingredient, and they include those which are recognized by consumers as similar kinds of drinks to milk and processed milk.

>Foods included in “Milk” category<

“Milk” refers to products specified in the Ministerial Ordinance concerning Compositional Standards Etc. for Milk and Milk Products.

>Foods not included in “Milk” category<

“Milk products” refers to products specified in the Ministerial Ordinance concerning Compositional Standards Etc. for Milk and Milk Products.

Since “Milk” and “Infant foods” are categories provided in consideration for children, the limit for them is established as a level that is not affected even if all of the marketed foods are contaminated.

→ “50 Bq/kg” which is half of the limit for “General foods”(100Bq/kg) applies to “Milk” and “Infant foods”.

“Milk”
Low-fat milk
processed milk
milk-based drinks

Lactic acid bacteria drinks
Yogurt
Cheese
Application of the limits to foods manufactured/processed

- **Basic Concept**

  In principle, processed foods are subject to the limit for “General foods”. The limit applies to the various stages of products on a case by case basis, for example, to the finished products or raw materials. For the foods given in 1 and 2 below, the limit applies to products in a ready-to-eat state based on the view of Codex Commission.

1. **Dry foods that are intended to be consumed in a reconstituted state, such as dried products of mushrooms, seaweeds, fish & shellfish and vegetables etc.**

   → The limit for “General foods” applies to raw materials (in a natural state) and reconstituted products.

   Notes: For foods that are intended to be consumed in a dried state, such as *nori* (dried laver), *niboshi* (dried sardines), dried cuttlefish, raisin, etc., the limit for “General foods” applies to ingredients (in a natural state) and finished products (in a dry state).

2. **Foods that are consumed after brewing process, such as tea leaves, and foods that are produced through extraction process, such as vegetable oils, like rice oil.**

   → There is a big difference in form between raw materials and finished products before consumption. The limit applies not to raw materials but to finished products. For tea leaves, the limit for “Drinking water” applies to a liquid extract obtained after brewing process. For edible oils, which are obtained through extraction from rice bran or oil seeds, the limit for “General foods” applies to oil as finished product.
Concepts of Inspection Plan for Radioactive Materials in Foods (1)

Formulation by The Nuclear Emergency Response Headquarters (Latest Revision: 2019/3/22)

Government sets the guideline of monitoring plan, including items subject to inspection and frequency of inspections. The inspections are implemented by local governments and focused on items especially in which higher level of radioactive cesium might be detected.

Instructed based on the inspection results conducted since the earthquake

● Local governments subject to inspections

● Items subjected to inspections as follows;
  - Food items from which radioactive cesium above the limits has been detected (ex: wild mushrooms, wild plants, birds and animals)
  - Items that are greatly influenced by the management of feeding (ex: milk, beef)
  - Items for which cultivation management and continuous monitoring inspections are needed because of the status of the influence of radionuclides to production materials (ex: log-grown mushrooms)
  - Fishery products
  - Food items that the restriction of distribution was cancelled since management system are settled

● The designation of municipalities subject to inspection

● The frequency of inspections
  ⇒ *Inspection Plan of each local government is designed according to actual situation such as level of detections, amounts of production, and seasons of shipment.*
Concepts of Inspection Plan for Radioactive Materials in Foods (2)

(Table1) The food item group for which cultivation/feeding control is difficult

【The local governments subject to inspections】
The local governments which need to continue inspections by taking into account difficulty of the management, etc.

【The local governments and items subject to inspections】

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aomori</th>
<th>Iwate</th>
<th>Akita</th>
<th>Miyagi</th>
<th>Yamagata</th>
<th>Fukushima</th>
<th>Ibaraki</th>
<th>Tochigi</th>
<th>Gunma</th>
<th>Chiba</th>
<th>Saitama</th>
<th>Tokyo</th>
<th>Kanagawa</th>
<th>Niigata</th>
<th>Yamanashi</th>
<th>Nagano</th>
<th>Shizuoka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 100 (Bq/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild mushrooms and Wild edible plants etc.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild bird and animal meat</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ~ 100 (Bq/kg)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild mushrooms and Wild edible plants etc.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine fishery products</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland water fishes</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
<td>☘</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Categorized based on the results of inspections conducted between April 1, 2018 and February 28, 2019.

☐: Radioactive cesium above the maximum limits (for fishery products, 1/2 of the limits) has been detected.
●: Radioactive cesium above 1/2 of the maximum limits has been detected (excluding those from which radioactive cesium above the maximum limits has been detected).
□: Inspection required by considering items’ difficulty of the management (e.g. Wild mushrooms, Wild edible plants), migratory behavior (for Wild bird and animal meat), and the status of restriction on distribution (for marine fishery products).
-: Not classified as subject to inspections in local governments, based on the results of inspection conducted previous year.
×: Not applicable.
(Table 1) Log-grown mushrooms in food items group for which cultivation/feeding control is possible

【The local governments subject to inspections】
The local governments which need to continue inspections by taking into account the status of the influence of radionuclides to production materials.

【The local governments and items subject to inspections】

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aomori</th>
<th>Iwate</th>
<th>Akita</th>
<th>Miyagi</th>
<th>Yamagata</th>
<th>Fukushima</th>
<th>Ibaraki</th>
<th>Tochigi</th>
<th>Gunma</th>
<th>Chiba</th>
<th>Saitama</th>
<th>Tokyo</th>
<th>Kanagawa</th>
<th>Niigata</th>
<th>Yamanashi</th>
<th>Nagano</th>
<th>Shizuoka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log-grown mushrooms</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>▲</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Categorized based on the results of inspections conducted between April 1, 2018 and February 28, 2019.

◎: Radioactive cesium above the maximum limits (for fishery products, 1/2 of the limits) has been detected.
●: Radioactive cesium above 1/2 of the maximum limits has been detected (excluding those from which radioactive cesium above the maximum limits has been detected).
▲: Cultivation management and monitoring inspection required taking into account the status of the influence of radionuclides to production materials.
### Concepts of Inspection Plan for Radioactive Materials in Foods (2)

**Table 2** The food item group for which cultivation/feeding control is possible (Log-grown mushrooms are excluded)

#### The local governments subject to inspections

The local governments which need to continue inspections such as cases where food items from which radioactive cesium above 1/2 of the maximum limits has been detected, based on the inspection results in the previous 3 years.

#### Food items subject to inspections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>50 ~ 100 Bq/kg</th>
<th>Vegetables</th>
<th>Fruits</th>
<th>Rice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miyagi</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukushima</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>■</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

※ Inspections of milk are conducted in Fukushima prefecture. Inspections of beef are conducted in Iwate, Miyagi, Fukushima and Tochigi prefecture.

Categorized based on the results of inspections conducted between April 1, 2018 and February 28, 2019.

- ◎: Radioactive cesium above the maximum limits (for fishery products, 1/2 of the limits) has been detected.
- ●: Radioactive cesium above 1/2 of the maximum limits has been detected (excluding those from which radioactive cesium above the maximum limits has been detected).
- ■: Instructed as subject to inspections on the Attachments.
- –: Not classified as subject to inspections in local governments, based on the results of inspection conducted previous year.
Rigorous Monitoring System of Radionuclides in Foods

① Nuclides analysis by using germanium semiconductor detectors.
② Screening analysis by using NaI scintillation spectrometers and other instruments
Restriction of Distribution and/or Consumption of Foods

Order by Act on Special Measures Concerning Nuclear Emergency Preparedness

"Restriction of Distribution"
When areas producing the items exceeding the limits have been spread out, relevant areas and items become subject to restriction.

"Restriction of Consumption"
When significantly high level of concentration is detected in items, the restriction of consumption is immediately established.

- The requirements for establishing items and areas of restriction
  - When it is considered that areas producing the items exceeding the limits have been spread out, relevant areas and items become to restriction.
  - Unit of Restriction is prefecture basis. Prefectures can be divided into multiple number of areas if they can be administered by prefectures and municipalities.

- The requirements for cancellation of restriction
  - Based on the application of the relevant prefecture.
  - Prefectures can be divided into a multiple zones, in the light of the actual situations of the shipments of the items.
  - As a general rule, the results of radioactive cesium inspections conducted at 3 or more locations per municipality within the last month must all fall below the limits.

Identify the spreading out

Identify the significantly high level

- Monitoring → Exceed the limits → Restriction of Distribution → Restriction of Consumption

※ Monitoring of radioactive materials in food are mainly carried out before shipment. Most of the food items exceeding the limits are derived from areas where restrictions of distribution have been instructed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Number of Food Samples</th>
<th>Number above Limits</th>
<th>Violation Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 18, 2011 – March 31, 2012</td>
<td>137,037</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>0.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2012 – March 31, 2013</td>
<td>278,275</td>
<td>2,372</td>
<td>0.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2013 – March 31, 2014</td>
<td>335,860</td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015</td>
<td>314,216</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016</td>
<td>340,311</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2016 – March 31, 2017</td>
<td>322,563</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2017 – March 31, 2018</td>
<td>306,623</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

※The number of food samples tested includes test results of foods distributed in the market and test results implemented by the local governments other than the 17 prefectures designated by the guideline.
The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) surveyed the dietary intake of radionuclides in 15 areas across Japan in the February–March 2018 period and estimated the annual effective doses from radioactive materials derived from standard meals. ※ Foods were purchased in 15 areas in Japan including three areas in Fukushima Prefecture. Local grown products were selected, wherever possible.

The annual effective doses from radioactive cesium in foods were less than 1 % of 1 mSv/year as the basis of setting of the current limits in this study.
Compared with natural background radiation, additional radioactive cesium from the accident is quite small.
○ Information dissemination on MHLW website
   The website are revised and updated materials that summarize the new limits and FAQ are posted for media and consumers. All testing results of radioactive materials in foods of local governments are reported immediately to MHLW, and they are also available on this website:

○ Dialogues with consumers and producers, etc. (Risk communication)
   Informal meetings about radioactive materials in foods were held in cooperation with the Consumer Affairs Agency, Food Safety Commission of the Cabinet Office, MAFF and local governments across the country. Consumers, producers, academics, and other interested parties are participated in and share information and their concern.