Time length from enrollment in the pension system to becoming a beneficiary

Person who became 65 years old in 2001

45 years ago
(20 years old) → (40 years old)
(65 years old) → (until death)

Average monthly salary of office workers
- approx. 20,000 yen
- approx. 130,000 yen
- approx. 300,000 yen

Large changes in the economy and society

1956 1976 2001 2046 2061

Pension in proportion to living standards

* Persons who are 65 years old at present have paid part of their income as a pension premium, since the era when the average salary was 20,000 yen. Based on these payment records, they are able to receive a pension in proportion to the era when the average salary is 300,000 yen for their lifetime (wage and prices sliding scale).

Person who became 20 years old in 2001

45 years later
(20 years old) → (60 years old)

Unforeseeable changes in society and economy and the person’s life span

* As the past example indicates, for the person aged 20, what living (wage) standards will be like 45 years or more later is unforeseeable. Preparations for income during old age will be meaningless unless the pension is in proportion to the living standards in that unforeseeable future.

* Disabled pension and bereaved family pension may become necessary before the person becomes aged.

* What age a person will live to is unforeseeable.
Significance and roles of public pension

1) For the aged generations:
   Prevents fall into poverty
   Provides basic income during old age

2) For the working generations:
   Frees them from the duty of supporting their parents

3) For companies:
   Enables employees to concentrate on work
   Supports aged population’s consumption

4) For society:
   Ensures stability of society and economy
Inter-generation support (imposition method) vs. funding method

○ A “funding method” refers to a system where premiums are refunded to payers with management interests (similar to savings and private pensions)
  • Can it respond to changes in living standards?
  • What will be the difference to savings and private pensions?
  • Who will take responsibility for management risks?
  • Will a shift from the imposition method to the funding method be understood?
    = Occurrence of “double payers*”
    * Generations who must pay both inter-generation premium before the shift and own premium after the shift

→ Public pension systems in advanced countries adopt the imposition method.

○ Private pensions have the roles of complimenting a public pension and satisfying diverse needs during old age.
Tax method vs. social insurance method

Major issues

- Underlying concepts (philosophies and principles)
- Relationship between payment and coverage
- Relationship to welfare benefits
- How to ensure funding

→ Reflect in specific system design
  Benefit amount, income limitation, collection method, etc.
Japanese Universal Pension system

(Figures are as of March 31, 2008, unless otherwise indicated.)

* Members of the Employees' Pension Fund, Defined-Benefit Corporate Pension, Tax-Qualified Pension Plan and Private School Mutual Aid Pension can also join the Defined-Contribution Pension (corporate type).
* Members of the national pension fund can also join the defined contribution pension (individual type).
* The Tax-Qualified Pension Plan will be taken over by other corporate pension plans, etc. by the end of FY2011.
History and structure of Japanese pension system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payment</th>
<th>Since June 1942</th>
<th>Since April 1961</th>
<th>Since April 1986</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self employed persons</td>
<td>Self employed persons</td>
<td>Self employed persons</td>
<td>Self employed persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural workers</td>
<td>Agricultural workers</td>
<td>Agricultural workers</td>
<td>Agricultural workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private company employees</td>
<td>Private company employees</td>
<td>Private company employees</td>
<td>Private company employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public servants</td>
<td>Public servants</td>
<td>Public servants</td>
<td>Public servants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium</td>
<td>Proportional to salary</td>
<td>Proportional to salary</td>
<td>Proportional to salary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees’ pension</td>
<td>Fixed + proportional</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insurance</td>
<td>Employees’ pension</td>
<td>Employees’ pension</td>
<td>Employees’ pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public pension</td>
<td>Proportional</td>
<td>Public pension</td>
<td>Public pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>National Pension</td>
<td>National Pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Employees’ pension</td>
<td>Employees’ pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mutual aid pension</td>
<td>Mutual aid pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Basic pension</td>
<td>Basic pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Basic pension + Employees’ pension, Mutual aid pension</td>
<td>Basic pension + Employees’ pension, Mutual aid pension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: Transition of employees in each industry type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary industry</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>5.0% (-27.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary industry</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>29.5% (+0.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary industry</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>64.3% (+26.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pension payment and premium status in FY2009

Pension payment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FY2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Pension</td>
<td>66,008 yen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Pension for the aged: one person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Pension</td>
<td>132,016 yen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Pension for the aged: a married couple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees’ Pension</td>
<td>232,592 yen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard pension payment including the and the Basic Pension for a married couple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The value for the employees' pension assumes that the husband worked for 40 years at an average income level (average standard remuneration: 360,000 yen), throughout which time his wife was a full-time homemaker (a new household payment criteria).

Premium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Monthly value:</th>
<th>FY2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Pension</td>
<td>14,660 yen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees’ Pension</td>
<td>Premium rate:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.350% (shared equally by the employee and the employer) Sept. 2008-Aug. 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.704% (shared equally by the employee and the employer) Sept. 2009- Aug. 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
People

○ Public pension members (as of the end of FY2007):
  70,070,000

  National Pension - Category 1 insured persons: 20,350,000  
  National Pension - Category 2 insured persons: 39,080,000  
  National Pension - Category 3 insured persons: 10,630,000

○ Beneficiaries (as of the end of FY2007)
  34,800,000 (one out of every four people)

  • Basic Pension (after paying premium for 40 years)
    Monthly payment: 66,008 yen
  • Employees’ Pension (standard payment for a married couple)
    Monthly payment: 232,592 yen

○ Public pension makes up approx. 70% of aged population’s household income

  Proportion of public pension in the aged population’s household income (2,989,000 yen): 70.8% (2,116,000 yen)

  (National Livelihood Survey 2008)

Premium

  28.2 trillion yen
  (approx. 8% of Japanese National Income)

  (FY2007)

  National Pension premium: 14,660 yen (since Apr. 2009)

  Employees’ Pension premium ratio: 15.35% (since Sept. 2008)
  Final value: 18.3% (from Sept. 2017)

Benefit

  44.7 trillion yen
  (public pension paid)

  (FY2007)

  cf. General expenditures of Japan (Budget FY2008): 47.3 trillion yen

Pension plans

  National Pension
  Employees’ Pension
  Mutual Aid Pension

Reserved pension assets for the Employees’ pension and National Pension
  (as of the end of FY2008)
  123.8 trillion yen
  (current value)

Government contribution to the pension system
  (FY2007)
  7.7 trillion yen

General fund flow of public pension
Overview of the Initial General Account Budget FY2009

General account expenditure
- Social security-related expenses (24.8 trillion yen), National Debt Service (20.2 trillion yen) and Local Allocation Tax Grants, etc. (16.6 trillion yen) occupy approx. 70% of total expenditures.

General account revenue
- Tax and Stamp Revenues only make up little more than 50% of total.
- Of the remaining, some 33 trillion yen (37.6%) depends on revenues from Government bonds.
Progressively lower birthrate and aging population

### Transition of the number of births and the Total Fertility Rate (TFR)

- **First baby boom** (1947-49)
  - Max. births: 2,696,638
  - Year: 1966
  - “Year of Hinoeuma” superstition
    - Max. births: 1,360,974

- **Second baby boom** (1971-74)
  - Max. births: 2,091,983
  - “1.57 shock” (1989)
    - Max. births: 1,246,802

- **2005**
  - Min. births: 1,062,530
  - Year: 2007
    - Max. births: 1,089,745

### Source:
Vital Statistics, Statistics and Information Department, Minister's Secretariat, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare

Note: The values for 2007 are approximates.

### Transition and outlook of the proportion of population aged 65 or older, etc.

- **Population aged 65 or older / Entire population**
- **Population aged 65 or older / Population aged 20 to 64**

Source: Compiled by the Office of the Director for Policy Evaluation, Attached to the Director-General for Policy Planning and Evaluation, from the Census and population estimates by the Statistics Bureau, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications; and Japan’s estimated future population (as of Dec. 2006) by the National Institute of Population and Social Security Research
Transition of Total Fertility Rate and hypothetic values for estimated future population (estimated in 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Medium level estimate</th>
<th>High level estimate</th>
<th>Low level estimate</th>
<th>Previous estimate (Medium level, 2002)</th>
<th>Actual value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Precondition for financial review 2009
(Population projection as of Dec. 2006)

* Precondition for financial recalculation 2004

Actual value 2008 1.37

Previous estimate (Medium level, 2002)
(Precondition for financial recalculation 2004)

Lowest value for the medium level estimate 1.213 (2013)
In the pension system revision of 2004, a new framework of pension finance was developed through a review of both the payment and coverage aspects, in order to establish a sustainable and secure pension system that can support the progressively lower birthrate and aging population in the future.

* Financial review is required by law every five years (next: 2009) (for developing a long-term financial forecast, and verifying the framework set in the 2004 revision).

**Framework of the revision in 2004**

1. **Raising premium rates with a ceiling**
   - Fix the premium rates for FY2017 onwards (* the premium rates and their increasing process are provided by law):  
     - Employees’ pension: 18.30% (shared equally by the employee and the employer) (raised by 0.354% annually, starting Oct. 2004)
     - National Pension: 16,900 yen (raised by 280 yen annually, starting Apr. 2005) (from the rate in 2004)
   - Reference: Present premium rates (as of June 2009): Employees’ pension: 15.35%, National Pension Plan: 14,660 yen

2. **Introducing an automatic adjustment system (in proportion to the macro economy) for the payment level within the range of coverage**
   - Adjust the pension payment levels in line with the decrease in working generations. The standard pension payment level should exceed 50% of the average income of working salaried worker households at the point of payment start, regardless of the progressively lower birthrate.
   - * Result of financial review in 2009 (published on Feb. 23): 62.3% (FY2009) → 50.1% (FY2038 onwards)
   - Reference: Present pension payments (as of FY2009): Basic pension (after paying premium for 40 years): monthly payment: 66,008 yen; Employees’ pension (standard payment for a married couple): monthly payment: 232,592 yen

3. **Utilizing reserve fund**
   - Financial balance is to be established within approximately 100 years, after which the amount worth 12 months of premium is to be reserved, which should be utilized for payment to later generations.

4. **Increasing the government’s contribution rate for the basic pension to 50%**
   - Following a fundamental tax system reform to ensure a stable resource for required funds, an increase in the contribution rate to 50% by 2009 is provided by law.

**In order to establish a sustainable pension system, all of Frames 1 to 4 must be put into operation. Increasing the government’s contribution rate to 50% is the final remaining challenge.**