

手腕振動暴露による指先振動感覚の一時的
閾値移動とエネルギー時間依存性

前 田 節 雄, M. J. GRIFFIN

Energy time-dependency and temporary
threshold shifts in vibration perception
produced by hand-transmitted vibration

Setsuo MAEDA and M. J. GRIFFIN

手腕振動暴露による指先振動感覚の一時的 閾値移動とエネルギー時間依存性※

前田 節雄*, M. J. GRIFFIN**

Energy time-dependency and temporary threshold shifts in vibration perception produced by hand-transmitted vibration※

Setsuo MAEDA* and M. J. GRIFFIN**

In order to investigate the energy time-dependency and the TTS (temporary threshold shift) in vibration perception induced by vibration exposure, three experiments have been conducted. In experiment 1, the vibration was intermittent such as arising from chain saw work. In experiment 2, the intermittent vibration such as arising from line work was used. In experiment 3, repeated shock vibration similar to percussive metal-work was used. Subjects were exposed to vertical hand-transmitted vibration in each experiment. The hypothesis to be tested was that the energy time-dependency according to ISO 5349 and BS 6842 was appropriate to the prediction of TTS produced by the various vibration exposure patterns. It was found the TTS following intermittent vibration exposure such as in chain saw work could not be predicted using the method of vibration assessment in ISO 5349 and BS 6842. The TTS following intermittent vibration exposure (such as from line work) could be predicted with methods in the standards. The TTS following exposure to repeated shock vibration (such as in percussive metal-work) could not be predicted with the energy time-dependency given in the standards.

Key words: TTS, temporary threshold shifts, hand-transmitted vibration, vibration, energy time-dependency, vibration perception

1. Introduction

International Standard 5349 (1986)¹⁾ and British Standard 6842 (1987)²⁾ are primarily con-

平成4年5月7日受理

* 経営工学科 (Department of Industrial Engineering)

** サウサンプトン大学音響振動研究所人間工学研究部

(Human Factors Research Unit, Institute of Sound and Vibration Research, University of Southampton, Southampton SO9 5NH, England)

* Anglo-French Vibration Meeting and U. K. Informal Group Meeting on Human Response to Vibration に発表, 1991年9月25日。

cerned with protecting workers from incurring vibration-induced white finger (VWF) or hand-arm vibration syndrome. The frequency-weighted acceleration that is used in the present standards is intended for assessing hand-transmitted vibration exposure in terms of the number of years before finger blanching indicates vascular disorders.

Both ISO 5349 and BS 6842 provide information on how the vibration magnitude associated with 10% prevalence of vascular symptoms may depend on daily and lifetime vibration exposure periods. The assessment of hand-transmitted vibration is based on daily exposure expressed as 8 h or 4 h 'energy equivalent' frequency weighted root-mean-square acceleration. This procedure defines a time-dependency in which the vibration magnitude may be doubled if the exposure time is reduced by a factor of four. The guidance on the relation between vibration magnitude and exposure duration might be used to decide on the maximum daily exposure, but current vibration measurement standards do not specify a method of obtaining an annual or life-time vibration dose or the influence of any long-term intermittency in vibration exposures. From a consideration of the approximate relation between vibration magnitude and the average duration of exposure before vibration-induced white finger symptoms develop, it has been suggested that the severity of vibration may be assumed to increase in linear proportion to the equivalent daily weighted vibration magnitude. Therefore, if the equivalent daily weighted vibration magnitude is unchanged, even though the vibration exposure pattern of daily work is varied, the severity of vibration at the end of a working day has a same value. This time-dependency is convenient because it enables exposures to be quantified using root-mean-square averaging, but its adoption has not been based on the results of experimental research or epidemiological studies.

Radzyukevich (1969)³⁾ suggested that the temporary threshold shifts (TTS) in vibration sensation thresholds at the end of a working day were correlated with the permanent threshold shifts (PTS) which develop over a longer period. Malinskaya (1964)⁴⁾ found that the mean TTS of workers after a day of work that included vibration exposure, corresponded to the PTS of vibratory sensation that occurred in that group after 10 years of exposure. This suggests that the TTS after daily vibration exposure might be used to indicate PTS after prolonged vibration exposure.

The study of TTS prediction after exposure to vibration has been investigated by various researchers⁵⁾⁻¹⁴⁾ (e.g. Harada, 1978a, 1978b; Nishiyama and Watanabe, 1981; Hayward, 1984; Maeda, 1991; Maeda and Kume, 1986, 1987, 1989, 1991; Harada and Griffin, 1991), and results have been obtained for effects of many parameters, such as the frequency of sinusoidal vibration, amplitude of continuous vibration, exposure time, shock vibration, and grip force. But the time-dependency involved in the production of TTS after exposure to various vibration patterns is not well understood.

In order to clarify the role of the energy time-dependency in TTS, this investigation was undertaken to compare the results of three experiments which had already been completed. The operating hypothesis was that the energy time-dependency according to ISO 5349 and BS 6842 is appropriate to the prediction of TTS produced by the various vibration exposure patterns.

2. Energy Time-Dependency According to ISO 5349 and BS 6842

2.1 British Standard 6842 (1987) : Measurement and evaluation of human exposure to vibration transmitted to the hand

BS 6842 (British Standards Institution, 1987) provides guidance on factors which influence the severity of hand-transmitted vibration and describes how the severity of vibration exposures should be quantified. An annex provides information on how the vibration magnitude associated with 10% prevalence of vascular symptoms may depend on daily and lifetime exposure periods. A separate annex suggests some preventative procedures. This standard was compiled by persons participating in the evolution of International Standard 5349. Although BS 6842 is compatible with ISO 5349 there are some differences.

The British Standard emphasizes that many factors may influence vibration severity and offers some detailed practical guidance on measurement methods (from the selection and mounting of transducers to difficulties to be avoided when using recording equipment). A frequency weighting, W_h is given in a form compatible with the weightings in British Standard 6841¹⁵⁾ for whole-body vibration. This weighting illustrated in Fig. 1.¹⁶⁾ The asymptotic acceleration weighting has slopes of 0 dB per octave below 16 Hz and 6 dB per octave above 16 Hz and is therefore compatible with the frequency-dependent limits in BS DD 43 (British Standards Institution, 1975).¹⁷⁾ However, the band-limiting filters are set at 6.3 and 1250 Hz so as to restrict the standard to the assessment of vibration in the frequency range 8 to 1000 Hz.

The assessment of hand-transmitted vibration is based on daily exposures expressed as 8 h 'energy-equivalent' frequency-weighted root-mean-square (r.m.s.) acceleration, $a_{hw(eq, 8h)}$

$$a_{hw(eq, 8h)} = \left[\frac{1}{T(8)} \int_{t=0}^{t=T} [a_{hw}(t)]^2 dt \right]^{1/2}$$

where $T(8)$ is 28800 s (i.e. 8 h), $a_{hw}(t)$ is the instantaneous value of the frequency-weighted acceleration (ms^{-2}) and T is the total duration of the working day.

If it is known that an exposure lasts for t seconds at a frequency-weighted acceleration magnitude of a_{hw} r.m.s., then the 8 h energy-equivalent acceleration is given by:

$$a_{hw(eq, 8h)} = a_{hw} \left[\frac{t}{T(8)} \right]^{1/2}$$

or

$$a_{hw(eq, 8h)} = \left[\frac{1}{T(8)} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (a_{hw_i})^2 \cdot t_i \right]^{1/2}$$

A similar expression may be used to calculate the 8 h energy-equivalent frequency-weighted value from unweighted third-octave band (or octave band) spectra. If k_n is the frequency weighting for the centre frequency of band n having an r.m.s. acceleration a_{h_n} and lasting t seconds during the day

$$a_{hw(eq, 8h)} = \left[\frac{1}{T(8)} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (k_i \cdot a_{h_i})^2 \cdot t_i \right]^{1/2}$$

For normal tool usage it is suggested that vascular symptoms do not usually occur with frequency-weighted acceleration magnitudes below about $1 ms^{-2}$ r.m.s.. A tool with a frequen-




Fig. 1 Comparison of asymptotic and realisable frequency weighting, W_h for hand-transmitted vibration as defined in BS 6842 (from Griffin, 1990)¹⁶.

cy-weighted acceleration of 4 ms^{-2} r.m.s. used regularly for 4 h day^{-1} may be expected to give blanching in about 10% of exposed persons after about 8 years of regular daily exposure. Using the above daily and yearly time-dependency, Table 1 shows the frequency-weighted vibration magnitudes which might be expected to give rise to a 10% prevalence of symptoms for other daily durations (from 15 min to 8 h) and other lifetime exposures (from 0.5 to 16 years). The annex indicates that various factors can influence the prevalence of symptoms in a vibration-exposed group; it does not define a procedure for calculating any prevalence of VWF other than 10%.

Table 1 Frequency-weighted acceleration magnitudes (ms^{-2} r.m.s.) which may be expected to produce finger blanching in 10% of exposed persons according to BS 6842 (British Standards Institution, 1987b).

Daily exposure (h)	Life-time exposure (years)					
	0.5	1	2	4	8 ^a	16
0.25	256.0	128.0	64.0	32.0	16.0	8.0
0.5	179.2	89.6	44.8	22.4	11.2	5.6
1	128.0	64.0	32.0	16.0	8.0	4.0
2	89.6	44.8	22.4	11.2	5.6	2.8
4	64.0	32.0	16.0	8.0	4.0	2.0
8	44.8	22.4	11.2	5.6	2.8	1.4

^a Values correspond to a proposed 'action level'.

2.2 International Standard 5349 (1986): Mechanical vibration — Guidelines for the measurement and the assessment of human exposure to hand-transmitted vibration

In ISO 5349 the total daily exposure to vibration is expressed in terms of the 'energy-equivalent' frequency-weighted acceleration for an arbitrary period. The method is illustrated using a 4 h energy-equivalent value, $a_{\text{hw(eq, 4h)}}$

$$a_{\text{hw(eq, 4h)}} = \left[\frac{1}{T_{(4)}} \int_{t=0}^{t=T} [a_{\text{hw}}(t)]^2 dt \right]^{1/2}$$

where $T_{(4)}$ is 14400 s (i.e. 4 h), $a_{\text{hw}}(t)$ is the instantaneous value of the frequency-weighted acceleration (ms^{-2}) and T is the period of time during which vibration exposure may occur (i.e. the total duration of the working day). If the frequency-weighted r.m.s. acceleration can be assumed to be constant at a_{hw1} for a period of t_1 seconds, and constant at a_{hw2} for a period t_2 seconds, etc., then

$$a_{hw(eq, 4h)} = \left[\frac{1}{T_{(4)}} [(a_{hw1})^2 t_1 + (a_{hw2})^2 t_2 + (a_{hw3})^2 t_3 + \dots] \right]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, for exposure to a single period of constant r.m.s. frequency-weighted acceleration a_{hw} for t seconds

$$a_{hw(eq, 4h)} = a_{hw} \left[\frac{t}{T_{(4)}} \right]^{1/2}$$

where, again, $T_{(4)}$ is 14400 s (i.e. 4 h). This procedure defines a time-dependency in which the vibration magnitude may be doubled if the exposure time is reduced by a factor of four. In consequence, high magnitudes are required to obtain a significant 4 h energy-equivalent frequency-weighted acceleration magnitude if the duration is very short (see Table 2). There is no special allowance for intermittent exposures; the same equivalent value is obtained for a particular total duration of vibration exposure irrespective of whether it is continuous or contains rest periods.

Table 2 Magnitudes of hand-transmitted vibration (ms^{-2} r.m.s.) corresponding to an 'action level' given by a 2.8 ms^{-2} r.m.s. energy-equivalent 8-h exposure (i.e. $a_{hw(eq, 8h)} = 2.8 \text{ ms}^{-2}$ r.m.s.) (from Griffin, 1990).

Frequency (Hz)	Exposure duration										
	1 s	4 s	16 s	1 min	4 min	16 min	1 h	4 h	8 h	16 h	24 h
8	475	237	119	61	31	15	7.9	4.0	2.8	2.0	1.6
16	475	237	119	61	31	15	7.9	4.0	2.8	2.0	1.6
31.5	935	468	234	121	60	30	15.6	7.8	5.5	3.9	3.2
63	1871	936	468	242	121	60	31.2	15.6	11.0	7.8	6.4
125	3712	1856	928	480	240	120	61.9	30.9	21.9	15.5	12.6
250	7425	3712	1856	959	479	240	123.7	61.9	43.8	30.9	25.3
500	14849	7425	3712	1917	959	479	247.5	123.7	87.5	61.9	50.5
1000	29699	14849	7425	3834	1917	959	495.0	247.5	175.0	123.7	101.0

All types of vibration spectra are to be assessed using the overall frequency-weighted method of analysis. If octave or one-third octave band analysis is employed, the overall frequency-weighted value, a_{hw} , should be calculated from the square root of the sums of the squares (i.e. r.s.s.) of the accelerations, a_{hi} , in each band multiplied by the weighting, k_i , for the centre frequency of each band

$$a_{hw} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (k_i \cdot a_{hi})^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

The weighted value should be determined over the eight octave bands (i.e. $n=8$) from 8 to 1000 Hz or over the 24 one-third octave bands (i.e. $n=24$) from 6.3 to 1250 Hz. The 'straight-line' weighting defined in the standard is the same as that in BS 6842.

Annex A to ISO 5349 offers a dose-effect relationship between the 4 h energy-equivalent frequency-weighted acceleration, in the dominant axis of vibration, and the exposure period before the onset of vascular disorders (i.e. finger blanching). The proposed relation between these parameters is illustrated in a figure. For prevalence rates between 10 and 50% and frequency-weighted acceleration magnitudes from 2 to 50 ms^{-2} r.m.s., the exposure periods are also provided in a Table 3. It is stated that the dose-response relationship can be approximated by the relation

$$\text{percentage affected} = C \approx \left[\frac{a_{hw(eq, 4h)} \cdot E}{95} \right]^2 \cdot 100$$

where E is the exposure time (in years) before blanching occurs. Fig. 2 presents the values given by this expression and Table 3 compares these values with those tabulated in the standard.

The International Standard also states that it is provisionally applicable to "repeated shock type excitations".

Table 3 Number of years before blanching develops in various percentiles of a vibration-exposed population according to Annex A of ISO 5349 (International Organization for Standardization, 1986b): the values in parentheses were calculated using the equation given in the text.

Weighted acceleration, $a_{(eq, 4h)}$ (ms^{-2} r.m.s.)	Percentage of population affected by finger blanching				
	10	20	30	40	50
2	15 (15.0)	23 (21.2)	>25	>25	>25
5	6 (6.0)	9 (8.5)	11 (10.4)	12 (12.0)	13 (13.4)
10	3 (3.0)	4 (4.2)	5 (5.2)	6 (6.0)	7 (6.7)
20	1 (1.5)	2 (2.1)	2 (2.6)	3 (3.0)	3 (3.4)
50	<1 (0.6)	<1 (0.8)	<1 (1.0)	1 (1.2)	1 (1.3)




Fig. 2 Years of exposure to 4 hour energy-equivalent frequency-weighted hand-transmitted vibration required for the production of finger blanching in 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50% of exposed persons according to Annex A of ISO 5349 (from Griffin, 1990)¹⁶⁾.

3. Review of Three Experiments

3.1 Experiment 1 (Maeda & Kume, 1991)¹³⁾

3.1.1 Stimuli

Twelve kinds of the intermittent vibration such as chain saw work were used as stimuli as shown in Fig. 3. The total exposure time of stimuli from one to six was 9 minutes (540 seconds), and the stimuli from seven to twelve was 18 minutes (1080 seconds). The vibration was random with an octave bandwidth centred on the frequency 125 Hz. The frequency-weighted r.m.s. acceleration was $a_{hw(eq, 8h)} = 0.307 \text{ ms}^{-2}$ r.m.s. (or $a_{hw(eq, 4h)} = 0.434 \text{ ms}^{-2}$ r.m.s.) when the exposure time was 9 minutes, and $a_{hw(eq, 8h)} = 0.434 \text{ ms}^{-2}$ r.m.s. (or $a_{hw(eq, 4h)} = 0.613 \text{ ms}^{-2}$ r.m.s.) when the exposure time was 18 minutes.