到達目標の評価手法の標準化に関する研究

分担研究者:前野 哲博(筑波大学)

- 臨床研修における評価の手法や運用にかかる実態調査の結果を踏まえつつ、研修医の到達度をより具体的に評価し、指導に活用するとともに、到達手法の標準化を図るための基準を作成する必要がある。
- 到達度に関する基準は、研修評価として最も多く用いられている EPOC において「十分できる」「できる」と表記されているなど、やや具体性に欠ける記述になっている。そのため、より明確に、かつ研修医の成長段階を適確に評価するために、マイルストーン方式の導入を検討する。その参考例を別紙1に示す。

<参考>

- The Internal Medicine Milestone Project (American Board of Internal Medicine) (別紙2)
- この例は、現在の評価項目に対応する形で作成されている。到達目標の枠組みが変われば それに連動して検討すべきものであり、今後の議論を踏まえて見直すことが必要。

行動目標	, D D 1											
Level 0	Level 1]	Level 2	2		Level 3	}	-	Level 4		
評価不能	○○の基本的:を説明できる。	知識		要性を認			の中で、(極的に実 る。		おい ングル ○をう	i雑な場面に ても、セッティ こ合わせて○ 実践している。 について学生 の医療職を教 きる。		
経験目標(経験す~	べき診察法・検査	至•手	技)									
Level 0	Level 1]	Level 2	2		Level 3	}	Level 4			
評価不能	手技に関する類的知識や適応順について説明さる。	、手	まり複セッテする)導・監の一部	(雑ではか	い述記が手せる	まりずする)	こおいて 操ではなる イング 導医 でする でする でする でする でする でする でする でする	いが述りませれば、記述を記述しませば、記述を記述しませば、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは				
経験目標(経験す~	べき症状・病態・	疾患)									
Level 0	Level 1]	Level 2	2		Level 3	}	-	Level 4		
評価不能	受け持ち医でにいて、当該員として、当該患で入院していますの一部のでは、当該の中でのでは、 まずの でいます かいまい かい	の一 疾 診療	当該別でいるの診り	疾患で入る患者の 療プロセ	、院し 一部	当該 ている ての記	寺ち医と 疾患で入る患者の 診療プロ 加した。	、院し すべ	当該 ている 受け	時ち医として、 疾患で入院し る患者を複数 時ち、すべて 療プロセスに した。		
経験目標(特定の医	医療現場の経験)										
Level 0	Level 1]	Level 2	2		Level 3	}	-	Level 4		
評価不能	受け持ち医でにい医療チームの員として、当該療現場を少し(例以下)経験し	の一 医 3症	当該	医療現場 医例以下	を少	当該	寺ち医と 医療現場 度(4症例 定例未満 こ。	またあ 以上	当該	時ち医として、 医療現場をか 10症例以上) した。		

(評価スケールは 内容により中間を含むものと含まないもの両方が考えられる)

The Internal Medicine Milestone Project

A Joint Initiative of
The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education
and
The American Board of Internal Medicine



October 2014

Milestone Reporting

This document presents milestones designed for programs to use in semi-annual review of resident performance and reporting to the ACGME. Milestones are knowledge, skills, attitudes, and other attributes for each of the ACGME competencies that describe the development of competence from an early learner up to and beyond that expected for unsupervised practice. In the initial years of implementation, the Review Committee will examine milestone performance data for each program's residents as one element in the Next Accreditation System (NAS) to determine whether residents overall are progressing.

The internal medicine milestones are arranged in columns of progressive stages of competence that do not correspond with post-graduate year of education. For each reporting period, programs will need to review the milestones and identify those milestones that best describe a resident's current performance and ultimately select a box that best represents the summary performance for that sub-competency (See the figure on page v.). Selecting a response box in the middle of a column implies that the resident has substantially demonstrated those milestones, as well as those in previous columns. Selecting a response box on a line in between columns indicates that milestones in the lower columns have been substantially demonstrated, as well as some milestones in the higher column.

A general interpretation of each column for internal medicine is as follows:

Critical Deficiencies: These learner behaviors are not within the spectrum of developing competence. Instead they indicate significant deficiencies in a resident's performance.

Column 2: Describes behaviors of an early learner.

Column 3: Describes behaviors of a resident who is advancing and demonstrating improvement in performance related to milestones.

Ready for Unsupervised Practice: Describes behaviors of a resident who substantially demonstrates the milestones identified for a physician who is ready for unsupervised practice. This column is designed as the graduation target, but the resident may display these milestones at any point during residency.

Aspirational: Describes behaviors of a resident who has advanced beyond those milestones that describe unsupervised practice. These milestones reflect the competence of an expert or role model and can be used by programs to facilitate further professional growth. It is expected that only a few exceptional residents will demonstrate these milestones behaviors.

For each ACGME competency domain, programs will also be asked to provide a summative evaluation of each resident's learning trajectory.

INTERNAL MEDICINE MILESTONES

ACGME Report Worksheet

Critical Deficiencies			Ready for unsupervised practice	Aspirational
Does not collect	Inconsistently able to	Consistently acquires accurate and relevant histories from	Acquires accurate histories	Obtains relevant historical
accurate historical data	acquire accurate historical information in an organized		from patients in an efficient, prioritized, and hypothesis-	subtleties, including sensitive information that informs the
uata	fashion	patients	driven fashion	differential diagnosis
Does not use		Seeks and obtains data from		
physical exam to	Does not perform an	secondary sources when	Performs accurate physical	Identifies subtle or unusual
confirm history	appropriately thorough physical exam or misses ke	needed y	exams that are targeted to the patient's complaints	physical exam findings
Relies exclusively on	physical exam findings	Consistently performs		Efficiently utilizes all sources
documentation of		accurate and appropriately	Synthesizes data to generate a	of secondary data to inform
others to generate	Does not seek or is overly	thorough physical exams	prioritized differential diagnosis	differential diagnosis
own database or	reliant on secondary data		and problem list	
differential diagnosis		Uses collected data to define		Role models and teaches the
E-1-1	Inconsistently recognizes	a patient's central clinical	Effectively uses history and	effective use of history and
Fails to recognize patient's central	patients' central clinical problem or develops	problem(s)	physical examination skills to minimize the need for further	physical examination skills to minimize the need for further
clinical problems	limited differential		diagnostic testing	diagnostic testing
cliffical problems	diagnoses		diagnostic testing	diagnostic testing
Fails to recognize	a a a grioses			
potentially life				
threatening				
problems				

Copyright (c) 2012 The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education and The American Board of Internal Medicine. All rights reserved. The copyright owners grant third parties the right to use the Internal Medicine Milestones on a non-exclusive basis for educational purposes.

Version 7/2014

Critical Deficiencies									Read	dy for unsi	vised pra		Aspirational								
Attempts to perform procedures without sufficient technical skill for safe completion of common procedures						for th	esses ba le comp non pro	letio	on of so	succe proce	esses tech ssfully pe edures rec ication	med all	and	Maximizes patient comfort and safety when performing procedures							
Supervision Unwilling to perform procedures when qualified and necessary for patient care															perf (bey certi antio	orm acond the fication in the	ose red n) that I for fu Id supe ce of p	al pro quire are ture ervise proce	ocedure ed for practice		

Version 7/2014

Critical Deficiencies			Ready for unsupervised practice	Aspirational							
Lacks the scientific, socioeconomic or behavioral knowledge required to provide patient care	Possesses insufficient scientific, socioeconomic and behavioral knowledge required to provide care for common medical conditions and basic preventive care	Possesses the scientific, socioeconomic and behavioral knowledge required to provide care for common medical conditions and basic preventive care	Possesses the scientific, socioeconomic and behavioral knowledge required to provide care for complex medical conditions and comprehensive preventive care	Possesses the scientific, socioeconomic and behaviora knowledge required to successfully diagnose and treat medically uncommon, ambiguous and complex conditions							
Comments:											

Version 7/2014

Critical Deficiencies													Ready for unsupervised practice						Aspirational					
Ignores patient						En	gag	es pat	ients	in sha	red	Ident	ifies and	d inco	rporate	Role models effective								
preferences for plan	discussions of care plans						e plans decision making in								in shar	ed	communication and							
of care	and respects patient preferences when offered					un	con	nplicat	ed c	onvers	ations	decision making across a wide variety of patient care					development of therapeutic relationships in both routine							
Makes no attempt	1 1 '	the pation				Re	aui	res ass	istar	nce faci	litating	conversations					and challenging situations							
to engage patient in		tively sol					•			ficult o	_								0 0					
shared decision-		,	•							ersatio		Quic	kly estak	olishes	s a		Mode	els cro	ss-cult	ural				
making	At	Attempts to develop therapeutic relationships									therapeutic relationship with				communication and									
Ü					Re	Requires guidance or assistance to engage in						patients and caregivers, including persons of different					establishes therapeutic							
Routinely engages	wi	with patients and																relationships with persons of						
in antagonistic or	ca	regivers l	but is	often			communication with persons					socioeconomic and cultural					diverse socioeconomic							
counter-therapeutic	un	successf	ul			of	of different socioeconomic				backgrounds					backgrounds								
relationships with						ar	ıd cı	ultural	back	groun	ds													
patients and	ents and Defers difficult or								Incorporates patient-specific															
caregivers	an	nbiguous	conve	ersatio	ns							prefe	rences i											
	to	others																						
Comments:									Ш		L										_			